



**CITY OF OAKLAND
COMMUNITY POLICING ADVISORY BOARD**

**Regular MEETING Agenda
Wednesday, February 4, 2026
6:00 PM**

Oakland City Hall
1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 1st Floor Hearing Room 2

Board Membership:

Chair, **Colleen Brown** (NW), Vice Chair, **Jacqueline Long** (Dist. 7), **Ingrid Severson** (Dist. 1), **David Ralston** (Dist. 2), **Vacant** (Dist. 3), **Andrea Luna Bocanegra** (Dist. 4), **Cynthia Elliott** (Dist. 5), **Vacant** (Dist. 6), **Art Douglas Blacksher** (At Large), **Vacant** (OHA), **Valerie Bachelor** (OUSD), **Nancy Sidebotham** (NW), **Vacant** (Mayoral), **Vacant** (Mayoral), **Vacant** (Mayoral),

1. **Roll Call and Determination of Quorum** (2 minutes)
2. **Public Comment** (10 minutes)
3. **OPD Report** (30 minutes)-DC Anthony Tedesco
 - Review of Citywide Crime Statistics & Crime Trends
 - Status of Negotiated Settlement Agreement (NSA) & Remaining Tasks
4. **City Administrator's Office Updates** – Assistant to the City Administrator Felicia Verdin (5 minutes)
 - i. Board vacancies
5. **Committee Reports** (40 minutes)
 - **Executive Committee**
 - i. CPAB Report to Public Safety (Attachment A)
 - ii. Presentation (Attachment B)
 - **Resource Committee**
 - i. Recertification Approval for Beats 31yz & 22x (ACTION ITEM)
 - **Program & Services Committee**
 - i. Committee Report-Attachment C
 - **Fund Committee**
 - i. Committee Report
 - **Resolution 79235 Ad Hoc Committee**
 - i. Committee Report & Approval-Action Item (Attachment D)
6. **Agenda Building** (5 minutes)
 - Previous recommendations (Mayor, City Administrator, Police Chief, MACRO, DOT/PW Director, Public Safety Director)
7. **Closing Remarks & Adjournment** (3 minutes)



**CITY OF OAKLAND
COMMUNITY POLICING ADVISORY BOARD**

**Regular MEETING Agenda
Wednesday, February 4, 2026
6:00 PM**

Oakland City Hall
Hearing Room 2
1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 1st Floor

To observe or raise your hand in Zoom to comment on an agenda item:

Join the meeting via Zoom: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88489376722>

Join via audio: +1 669 444 9171

Webinar ID: 884 8937 6722

Submit an E-comment (If You Cannot Attend in Person)

Send your eComment directly to the Community Policing Advisory Board and staff 24 hours BEFORE the meeting starts, please send your comment, along with your full name and agenda item number commenting on, to Felicia Verdin @ fverdin@oakland@oaklandca.gov

Please note that E-comment submissions received after the deadline or without an agenda item reference may not be read and/or acknowledged at the meeting.

General Guidelines for Public Comment

Each person wishing to speak on items must fill out and submit a speaker's card to staff prior to the meeting. Members of the public can address the Community Policing Advisory Board in-person only and shall state their name and the organization they are representing, if any.

Please follow the protocol below during all public comment opportunities:

- Each speaker will have two (2) minutes to share their thoughts or ask a question.

- Please clearly state your name for the record before beginning your comment or question.
- Speakers must speak from the podium and clearly into the microphone when addressing the board.
- Profanity, yelling, or screaming is not permitted.
- All remarks or questions shall be directed through the Board Chair.



AGENDA REPORT

TO: Public Safety Committee
City Administrator Jestin Johnson
Chief of Police Floyd Mitchell

FROM: Community Policing
Advisory Board (CPAB)
Chairperson Colleen Brown

SUBJECT: 2024-2025 CPAB Annual Report

DATE: October 30, 2025

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this report is to provide an overview of the effort, action, and activities conducted by the CPAB with the goal of strengthening community policing and improving community engagement in Oakland.

BACKGROUND / LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

In 1995, the City of Oakland established community policing as Oakland’s approach to public safety through [Resolution 72727 CMS](#). This resolution institutionalized community policing through such strategies as creating Neighborhood Councils (NC), establishing the Community Policing Advisory Board (CPAB), and mandating that every police officer be trained in community policing. In 2005 the approach was updated with [Resolution 79235](#) which further defined the role of each level within the community policing structure at the block, neighborhood, and city level as well as the partner roles of the Neighborhood Services Division (NSD) and Oakland Police Department (OPD). OPD assigned Community Resource Officers (CROs) to the 57 community policing beats. NSD assigned Neighborhood Service Coordinators (NSC) to Neighborhood Councils (NC) in every community policing beat. Police Service Technicians (PST) trained Neighborhood/Merchant Watch (NW/MW) groups and conducted CPTED (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design) inspections.

NSD Vision: Every block is organized, and every neighbor is skilled, networked, and empowered to work together and in partnership with the City to solve problems and build a healthy, resilient community.

Over the years, staff was drastically reduced in the Neighborhood Services Division and OPD reduced the number of CROs citywide. These staff cuts impacted community policing and community engagement in Oakland especially Neighborhood Councils. The pandemic affected community participation in NC and CPAB meetings. The CPAB experienced difficulty meeting quorum due to vacancies. There were definitely some challenges which made it difficult to oversee NCs, conduct tri-annual recertifications and report to City Council.


As a result, the board lost its visibility to the City Council and general public. Our goal is for this report to reaffirm our commitment to community engagement and revitalize our relationship with the City Council, CROs, the NCs, community partners and stakeholders.

KEY ISSUES AND IMPACTS

Community Policing and Engagement as Envisioned in Resolution 79235

- Community policing and engagement is a philosophy that empowers Oaklanders to work in partnership to improve their community and resolve concerns.
- Resolution 72727/79235 formalized Community Policing in Oakland.
- Neighborhood Councils are citywide & serve as an opportunity for Oaklanders to meet and work together to identify, prioritize, and resolve neighborhood concerns.

**** Please note: the NSC position was eliminated due to the budget deficit in April 2025. ****



Block-Level Organization

Neighborhood Watch (NW) and Merchant Watch (MW) is a partnership between neighbors to organize on a block level. Oakland Police Department staff previously provided training to organize over 800 official Neighborhood Watch and Merchant Watch groups citywide. There is a Block Captain representing every NW/MW group. The Neighborhood Watch Steering Committee provides oversight over the Neighborhood/Merchant Watch program.

Neighborhood-Level Organization

Neighborhood Councils (NCs) are the neighborhood-level component of the City's Community Policing Program. NCs are organized by community policing beats and strive to include representatives from a variety of organizations as well as interested members of the community. There are currently forty-six (46) organized NCs citywide. Community Resource Officers (CROs) and Neighborhood Services Coordinators (NSCs) were previously assigned to every NC. The Neighborhood Services Coordinator position was eliminated due to the budget deficit. The number of Community Resource Officers assigned to NCs has reduced to eight (8) CROs citywide to cover forty-six (46) NCs.

Citywide Organization

The Community Policing Advisory Board (CPAB) advocates for community engagement and helps bring neighborhoods together as a community and citywide voice.

- Advise OPD on implementing policy, operational or organizational changes that will affect community engagement and the functioning and operation of community policing as described in Resolution 79235.
- Certify and recertify Neighborhood Councils tri-annually or every three years.
- Co-sponsor annual citywide Community Policing (Safety) Summit with the City Council, Police Department, and Neighborhood Watch Steering Committee (NWSC).

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

In 2024-2025, the CPAB worked to reorganize itself in order to fulfill its responsibilities to itself, the City Council, the Police Department, and most importantly to the Neighborhood Councils. In an effort to return to our core mission as described above, we have completed the following activities:

- **CPAB Retreat**
Held a strategic planning retreat to train board members, establish and select 2025 goals.
- **Engagement plan**
Created a plan for CPAB members to observe NC meetings and interact with the NC Chairpersons.
- **Area leadership meetings**
Made a recommendation to city staff to reestablish the leadership meetings with OPD police captain by area with neighborhood council chairs.
- **Workplans**
Developed workplans to outline action items and deadlines to achieve goals and assigned work to subcommittees within the CPAB which are meeting at least monthly.
- **Committees**
Reestablished committees to work on accomplishing the action items and goals in the workplan.

- **Outreach material**
Developed and published a brochure to educate the public on the CPAB responsibilities and duties.
- **Bylaw revision**
Revised the bylaws to better align with other City boards, commissions, and the City Charter. The revisions included recommendations to address requirements for meeting quorum in order to conduct business.
- **NC Tri-annual Recertification**

The CPAB has begun the recertification process for all NCs to affirm compliance with the guidelines established in City Council Resolution 79235. Documentation was updated and sent to NC Chairs.
- **Training**
Hosted a free conflict resolution training webinar presented by Oakland Speaks.
- **Request for Additional Staff**
Letter submitted to the Mayor, City Administrator, and City Council advocating for additional Neighborhood Services Coordinator positions.

NOTABLE SETBACKS

The City recently eliminated many of the core elements necessary for effective community policing:

1. Elimination of the Neighborhood Services Division (NSD)

Due to the budget deficit, the city recently eliminated the Neighborhood Services Division which included eight (8) positions: 4 NSCs, 2 supervisors, and 2 PSTs. With the exception of the area team leads in the City Administrator's Office, there are zero employees to interface with the community which includes 46 NCs, and over 800 Neighborhood Watch groups. Without Neighborhood Services Division staff, there is no longer anyone to help coordinate between the city and NCs, send out notices, train, and support Neighborhood Watch groups. Many NC need support to continue having meetings for their community partners that include, but are not limited to residents, youth, seniors, faith community, merchants, non-profits, educational institutions (public/private/charter), community-based organizations, etc. There are different challenges, skill sets, language barriers, and resources in different areas of the city, especially in disadvantaged communities of color. It creates inequitable practices in underserved communities. For some NCs, the NSCs maintained records and by dissolving NSD, these records are now lost or difficult to retrieve.

As we have begun the process of recertifying the NCs, we have asked what their biggest organizational challenge is they are facing and if they are facing any major setbacks or disappointments. So far, ALL NCs which have responded have said elimination of the NSD has had the biggest impact.

The NSD staff were force multipliers and catalysts which helped build, maintain, and unify the community.

2. Loss of Communication and Transparency between Neighborhood Councils, Police Department, and other City Agencies.

One of the main functions of the NSCs within the NSD was to coordinate between City agencies and the NC. Without this interface, communication is severely impacted. NCs no longer have a conduit to relay information back and forth and no city staff to advocate for resources. Without anyone associated with the city, Neighborhood Councils no longer have any authority or legitimacy.

3. Elimination of Neighborhood Council (NC) Funds

The city previously allocated funds for each NC every fiscal year. The budget of \$50,000 per year was recently eliminated due to the budget deficit. The funds supported NC activities such as outreach material, meeting room rental fee, Zoom accounts for virtual meetings, supplies, equipment, website fees, duplication, meeting refreshments, events i.e., neighborhood clean-ups, NNO, etc. along with other miscellaneous expenses.

4. Support and Training for NC and NWSC Leadership

NSD staff often assisted NC and NWSC leaders with administrative tasks, set up and provided access to ZOOM. This allowed NCs and the NWSC leaders to meet virtually and maintain accurate records. While the City Administrator's Office continues to loan out video conferencing equipment, when NSD staff loaned equipment such as the OWL (video conferencing equipment) which permitted hybrid in-person/virtual meetings more technical support could be provided to groups that needed it. NC and NW leaders need support with administrative tasks, securing meeting locations, receiving response and accurate information from City staff.

5. Reduction of the number of CROs

Over the years, OPD reduced the number of CROs from fifty-seven (57) one for each community policing beat to eight (8) citywide. This has severely impacted OPD's working relationship with the community. Neighborhood Council leaders report challenges with CROs assigned to oversee too many beats and often being reassigned to non CRO duties. This impacts the successful resolution of Neighborhood Council priorities and community concerns

6. Board vacancies

City Council Resolution 79235 states the Community Policing Advisory Board consists of fifteen (15) members. There are consistent vacancies on the board. As of September 2025, there are five (5) vacancies, which include three (2) Mayoral representatives, one (1) Oakland Housing Authority representative, and one (1) Council District 4 representative.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Community Policing Advisory Board (CPAB) would like to make the following recommendations.

1. Strengthen the principles of Resolution 79235 & OPD Department General Order: Community Policing

Oakland residents have clearly expressed their desire for community policing. The city council adopted community policing as city policy in Resolution 72727 in 1996. They elected a council that reconfirmed that policy in Resolution 79235 in 2005. And they voted to tax themselves by passing

Measure Y in 2004, Measure Z in 2014, and Measure NN in 2024, not to increase the number of patrol officers, but to increase the number of community-focused policing officers.

We ask that the City Council and OPD strengthen their commitment to community policing and assure the citizens of Oakland that it does not view routine assignments to patrol as a legitimate CRO activity, and they will support community policing

2. Partnership with Oakland Public Safety Planning and Oversight Commission (OPSPOC)

CPAB recommends a partnership with the OPSPOC to identify and develop opportunities for community education and engagement. Assure Measure NN funds are allocated annually to support community engagement and community policing. It is vital that when they are developing the four-year public safety plan that community policing is integrated into the overall strategy

We recommend CPAB and OPSPOC create a partnership to assure funds are allocated to support community policing and community engagement. We also recommend being included in the planning that affects community policing and community engagement related to direct services, programs, and strategies.

3. Assign Staff to Support Neighborhood Councils (NCs) and Neighborhood/Merchant Watch

Neighborhood Council and Neighborhood Watch are central to community policing. Eliminating the NSD department and associated personnel (NSCs and PSTs) means that the public and neighborhood councils no longer have support or limited assistance from City staff. The Neighborhood Watch Program, Merchant Watch and Neighborhood Councils are force-multipliers to develop community leaders, organize community block groups and support NCs.

We recommend hiring staff to be assigned to support Neighborhood Council leadership, Neighborhood and Merchant Watch Block Captains, and the Neighborhood Watch Steering Committee.

4. Restore Funding for Neighborhood Councils

CPAB recommends that City Council reinstate Neighborhood Council funds, \$50,000 each fiscal year for certified Neighborhood Councils. We also recommend staff is assigned for administrative support to preapprove and process required documentation for the Controller's Office.

5. OPD Assign Community Resource Officers (CROs) to Support Every Neighborhood Council (NC)

CPAB recommends CROs are assigned to support every Neighborhood Council. Assign enough CROs to every community policing beat to address NC priorities and community concerns. Limit CROs being reassigned to non-CRO duties.

6. Reestablish and Co-sponsor the Citywide Community Policing (Safety) Summit

CPAB recommends funds are dedicated and staff is assigned to work with the Police Department, City Council, and the Neighborhood Watch Steering Committee to plan a summit.

7. Expedite appointments to fill the vacant board member positions

CPAB recommends the expedited selection and appointment of dedicated Oakland residents to fill the vacant board positions.

FISCAL IMPACT

The CPAB request the City Council allocate funds for dedicated staff, Neighborhood Councils operations, and citywide safety summit.

1. Request for Funding

a. Staff

Hire and/or assign staff to provide full-time support to Neighborhood Councils, Neighborhood Watch Steering Committee, and Neighborhood/Merchant Watch Block Captains. Currently, there are no staff assigned to support NW/MW, NCs, and the NWSC.

Budget request: \$85,000.00 per position for Police Service Technician II.

b. Neighborhood Council Funds

Reinstate funds to support Neighborhood Councils operations and activities i.e., meetings.

Budget request: \$50,000.00

c. Citywide Safety Summit

Assign staff and allocate funds to work with the Police Department, City Council, CPAB, and Neighborhood Watch Steering Committee to plan a summit.

Budget request: \$50,000.00

PUBLIC OUTREACH/INTEREST

A summary of this report was published and discussed at the CPAB's regular September 3, 2025 meeting. The summary was also distributed by email to the CPAB email distribution list via the September 2025 agenda packet.

ACTION REQUESTED OF THE CITY COUNCIL

1. The CPAB requests funds are allocated to hire staff to support NC, NWSC, and train NW/MW.
2. Assign CROs to every beat and attend NC meetings.
3. Reinstate Neighborhood Council Funds, \$50,000, to support NC operations, meeting space fee, events, outreach, and engagement activities.
4. Request that OPSOC (Measure NN) work together with the CPAB to help develop the four-year safety plan as it relates to the community policing aspect of their public safety plan.
5. Require an annual meeting with OPSOC (Measure NN Board), Police Commission and CPAB that includes an opportunity for each board/commission to give a presentation.
6. Assign staff and allocate funds, \$50,000, for a Citywide Safety Summit.

For questions regarding this report, please contact CPAB Chairperson Colleen Brown by email at cbrown2020@att.net.

ATTACHMENTS:

Brochure – Attachment A

Work Plan – Attachments B

Link to Resolution 79235

<https://www.oaklandca.gov/files/assets/city/v/1/boards-amp-commissions/documents/cpab/79235-cms.pdf>

CPAB ANNUAL REPORT: PROGRESS & PATH FORWARD



2024-2025 Community Policing Advisory Board

January 27, 2026 Public Safety Committee

INTRODUCTION TO CPAB REPORT



CPAB's Mission and Annual Focus

Advocate for robust community policing and engagement.



Purpose of the Annual Report

Highlight key actions, challenges, and recommendations for Oakland.



Commitment to Community Partnerships

Strengthen collaboration with City Council, OPD, and local stakeholders.

COMMUNITY POLICING BACKGROUND

Community Policing Adopted in 1995

Resolution 72727 established community policing as city policy.

Expanded Structure in 2005

Resolution 79235 defined roles at block, neighborhood, and city levels.

Key Components Mandated

Neighborhood Councils, CPAB, Community Resource Officers, Neighborhood Watch/Merchant Watch



ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OVERVIEW

Three-Tiered Community Safety Structure

Citywide, Neighborhood, and Block levels coordinate efforts .

Key Roles and Responsibilities

CPAB, Neighborhood Councils, CROs, Neighborhood Watch/Merchant Watch Block Captains drive engagement.

Impact of NSC Position Elimination

Loss of Neighborhood Services Coordinators affects support and equity.

CPAB 2024-2025 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Strategic Planning Retreat

Board members trained and 2025 goals established.

Enhanced Neighborhood Engagement

CPAB members observed NC meetings and enhanced relationships.

Committees and Outreach Revitalized

Committees reestablished; new brochure published and outreach flyer created.

Neighborhood Council Recertification

Tri-annual process initiated to ensure compliance (~50% completed).

CHALLENGES FACING COMMUNITY POLICING

Significant Staff Reductions

Neighborhood Services Division eliminated; OPD CROs temporarily disbanded.

Loss of Neighborhood Council Funding

Annual \$50,000 NC funds cut, impacting outreach and operations..

Breakdown in Communication

Loss of NSD staff disrupts coordination between NCs and city agencies.

Reduced Support for Leadership

No staff to assist NC leadership, NW/NW Block Captains and NWSC leaders .

IMPACT OF NSD ELIMINATION

No Staff for Community Coordination

46 Neighborhood Councils and 800+ Neighborhood Watch groups lack city support.

Loss of Records and Support

Elimination of NSD led to missing records and no assistance.

Equity Impact in Underserved Areas

Disadvantaged communities face greater challenges and inequities.

Universal Concern Among NCs

All responding NCs cite NSD elimination as the biggest setback.



© The Associated Press

REDUCED CRO COVERAGE

Significant Reduction in CROs

CROs decreased from 57 to 8 to zero citywide.

Strained Community Relationships

No CRO presence weakens engagement with neighborhoods.

Frequent Reassignment of CROs

CROs often diverted to non-community policing duties.

Challenges for Neighborhood Councils

Difficulty addressing priorities and concerns.

BOARD VACANCIES AND GOVERNANCE

Persistent Board Vacancies

Six open positions, including Mayoral and agency reps.

Impact on Board Functionality

Vacancies hinder quorum and delay key decisions.

Urgency for Appointments

Expedite the selection, appointment, and council approval needed to restore full board capacity.

CPAB RECOMMENDATIONS

Reaffirm Commitment to Community Policing

Strengthen adherence to Resolution 79235.

Integrate Community Policing in Safety Planning

Partner with OPSPoC to ensure community engagement is central.

Restore / Reassign Staff Support for NCs and Neighborhood Watch

Hire dedicated staff to assist Neighborhood Councils and Neighborhood Watch /Merchant Watch block captains.

Reinstate Funding for Neighborhood Councils

Allocate \$50,000 annually for NC operations and outreach.

FISCAL IMPACT AND FUNDING REQUESTS

Requested Amount

\$85,000

Staff Support (per position)

\$50,000

Neighborhood Council Operations

\$50,000

Citywide Safety Summit



ACTION ITEMS FOR CITY COUNCIL

Allocate Funding and Hire Staff

Support Neighborhood Councils and Neighborhood Watch/ Merchant Watch programs with dedicated personnel.

Assign CROs to Every Beat

Ensure Community Resource Officers attend all NC meetings and address priorities.

Reinstate NC Funds and Engagement Support

Restore \$50,000 annual funding for NC operations, outreach, and events.

Collaborate with OPSPOC on Safety Planning

Integrate community policing into the four-year public safety plan.

KEY TAKEAWAYS & NEXT STEPS

Community Policing: Foundation for Safety

Empowers residents to address local concerns collaboratively.

Restore Critical Resources

Reinstating staff and funding is essential for effective engagement.

Strengthen Board and Partnerships

Filling vacancies and partnering with City Council will revitalize efforts.

CPAB Calls for Council Action

Support needed to rebuild community trust and participation.



2026 Neighborhood Council Meeting Schedule							
**Note NC meetings are organized and scheduled by volunteers. Meeting dates and locations are subject to change. Please send an email to confirm the meeting details before attending. **							
Beat Number	Area 1-6	Neighborhood Council Name	Neighborhood Council Meeting Day	Time	Meeting Location / Zoom Link	Public NC Email Address/Website	Notes
1X	1	Jack London NC	4th Tuesday, monthly	6:00 p.m.	https://us02web.zoom.us/j/6999564114	Info@jacklondonoakland.org	
3X	1	Chinatown NC					Need updated meeting information.
3Y	1	Old Oakland Neighbors					Need updated meeting information.
4X	1	Uptown/Gold Coast NC					Need updated meeting information.
2X/5X	1	Acorn & Oak Community	3rd Weds, monthly	6:30 p.m.			Meetings on hold until further notice.
2Y/5Y	1	Prescott NC	2nd Thurs, monthly. No Aug or Dec	6:30 p.m.	Email to request meeting location or Zoom link.	prescott2y5ychair@gmail.com	Host virtual & in person meetings every other month.
6X	1	Hoover Durant					Need updated meeting information.
7X	1	Beat 7 NC / West Oakland Neighbors	3rd Thurs, odd months	6:00 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	beat7xreport@gmail.com	
8X	2	Northgate/Ujima Friends NC	Last Saturdays of January, April, July, and October	11:00 a.m.	Mosswood Park Recreation Center 3612 Webster St.	Beat8xNCPC@gmail.com	
9X	2	PANIL NC	third Wednesday, monthly	6:30 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	contact@panil.org /vwinemiller@hotmail.com	
10X	2	Golden Gate NC	3rd Wed, monthly	6:30 p.m.	Charles Porter Golden Gate Recreation Center/ Zoom Meeting ID: 824 7100 2733; Passcode: gg10x	goldengatenc10x@gmail.com	
10Y	2	10Y NC	No meetings scheduled.				No plans to meet in 2026.
11X	2	Shattuck NC	2nd Tues, monthly	7:00 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	don-link@comcast.net	
12X	2	Temescal Neighbors	3rd Wednesdays, quarterly	6:30 p.m.	Temescal Works, 490 43rd Street	temescal.12x.ncpc@gmail.com www.TemescalNeighbors.org	

12Y/13X	2	Greater Rockridge NC	2nd Thurs, even months	7:00 p.m.	Rockridge Library, 5366 College; rockridgencpc.com for more information	chair@rockridgencpc.com	
13Y	2	North Hills Public Safety Committee					Need updated meeting information.
13Z	2	Montclair NC (MNC)					Need updated meeting information.
14X	3	Adams Point Neighborhood Group	2nd Monday, monthly	6:00 p.m.	location varies month to month, email for more information.	adamspointneighborhood@gmail.com	
14Y/16X	3	Grand Lake Neighbors	3rd Wed, bimonthly (odd months)	7:00 p.m.	Lakshore Avenue Baptist Church, 3534 Lakeshore Avenue	david@grandlakeneighbors.org	
15X	3	Friends of Cleveland Heights NC					Need updated meeting information.
16Y	3	Glenview NC					Need updated meeting information.
17X/Y	3	Neighborhood Coalition for Peace and Community / Friends of Bella Vista Park	2nd Wed, odd months	6:30 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	ncpc17xy@gmail.com	
18X	3	18X NC	3rd Thursday (ZOOM and in-person alternating)		Email to request meeting location or Zoom link.	michael@mta.io	
18Y							Need updated meeting information.
19X							Need updated meeting information.
20X	4	Jingletown/Oaktree/Hawthorne	3rd Thursday, monthly. No Aug or Dec	6:30 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	beat20xnc@gmail.com	
21X/Y	4	Patten-Meadow Brook	2nd Thurs, monthly. No Aug	6:45 p.m.	3266 Logan Street Meeting ID: 881 1271 5597 Passcode: 740463	ncpc21xy@gmail.com	
22X	4	22X East Canyon NC	2nd Tues, in Feb, May, Aug, Nov	7:00 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	22xneighbors@gmail.com	
22Y	4	Bret Harte/Joaquin Miller Neighborhood Action Council	1st Thurs (odd months)	7:00 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	oaklandbeat22y@gmail.com	
23X	4	Fruitvale NC	2nd Wednesday, odd months	7:00: p.m.	Cesar Chavez Public Library 3301 E.12th St #271	beat23x@gmail.com	

24x	4	Jefferson/Harington	3rd Wed, monthly. No Aug or Dec	7:00 p.m.	Life Academy, 2101 35th Avenue (enter backside--meeting in Library, 34th/Galindo)	Oakland24X@gmail.com	
24Y	4						
25X	4	Laurel/Redwood/Leona Heights NC	2nd Wed even months	7:00 p.m.	St. Lawrence O'Toole Church, 3725 High St. or email to request zoom link.	oaklandbeat25x@gmail.com	
25Y	4	Beat 25Y NC	ZOOM meetings: Feb 19 & Nov 16, 2026 IN PERSON MEETINGS April 16 and Sept 17, 2026 @ Kaleo Christian Fellowship	6:30 p.m.	Email to request zoom link. Kaleo Christian Fellowship 7700 Mountain Blvd	ncpc.beat25y@gmail.com	
26X/Y	5	Coliseum Melrose					Need updated meeting information.
27X	5	Melrose 27X NC	Held 4th Wednesdays bi-monthly (even months—February, April, June, etc.) at 7 PM via Zoom	7:00 p.m.	https://us02web.zoom.us/j/5245557824?pwd=MTh6SVB0TVdRdWFhR2VCb0Vuam8zZz09	melrose27xnc@gmail.com https://www.melrose27xneighborhoodcouncil.com/	
27Y	5	Rainbow NC	Last Thurs, odd months except November	6:30 p.m.	Eastmont Police Station	ncpc.beat27y@gmail.com	
28X	5	Maxwell Park NC	2nd Wed, odd months.	7:00 p.m.	maxwellparknc.com	chair@maxwellparknc.org https://www.maxwellparknc.com/	
29X	5	Millsmont, Evergreen, Millsbrae NC	4th Wed, odd months.	6:30 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	nannystu@sonic.net	
30X	5	A Line Neighbors	2nd Thurs, monthly. except November and December	6:30 p.m.	30X Zoom Link	hello@beat30x.org	
30Y	5	Eastmont 30Y NC	4th Wed, monthly. No Nov or Dec	6:30 p.m.	30Y NC Zoom Link	ncpc.beat30y@gmail.com	
31X	6	Coliseum Business Alert					Need updated meeting information.
31Y/31Z	6	Brookfield/Sobrante	2nd Wednesdays, odd months	6:00 p.m.	Larry E. Reid Sports Center, 9161 Edes Ave	ncpc.beat31yz@gmail.com	

32X	6	32X NC	3rd Thurs, even months. No Dec	7:00 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	ncpc.beat32x@gmail.com	
32Y	6	MacArthur Corridor	4th Thurs in Jan, April, July, Oct	6:30 p.m.	Email to request Zoom link.	jacruz64@sbcglobal.net	
33X/34X	6	Beat 33X/34X Neighborhood Improvement Council	3rd Wed, monthly	6:00 p.m.	Allen Temple Baptist Church, 8501 International, Mary Morris Rm (2nd floor), Family Life Center (Building D)	chair3334x@gmail.com	
35X	6	Castlemont/King Estates NC	1st Wed, even months. 2nd Wed in Aug	7:00 p.m.	35X Zoom Link	ncpc35x@gmail.com	
35Y	6	South Hills NC	4th Wed: Jan (Zoom), Mar, May, Sept, Oct	7:00 p.m.	In person meetings at Divine Mercy Church/St Paschal Baylon Church 3700 Dorisa Ave.	southhillsnpc@gmail.com https://www.southhillsnpc.com/	

Type text here

PURPOSE OF QUESTIONNAIRE (for CPAB purposes)

- The questionnaire is intended to be given via focused interviews with neighborhood leaders, trusted community members, CBOs representatives, neighborhood stakeholders. May also be structured as an online survey.
- We want to specifically know what structures or additional functionality should be included in our resolution 79235 update recommendations? We are asking questions that can gain a sense of what we can do to ensure stronger voice in city government by NCs on behalf of the communities they represent, greater participation and involvement by neighbors in these councils, and greater effectiveness in building partnerships to address neighborhood goals and resolving safety and quality-of-life issues.
- We hope to get input on understanding what are the best mechanisms and processes, especially for proactively elevating marginalized community voices regarding neighborhood issues that need resolution.
- We also are looking to build interest and allies to be able to collectively and positively shape the development of community policing and neighborhood empowerment policy in Oakland.

Draft

[Revised for Feb, 2026]

Outreach Questionnaire

Supporting Neighborhood Empowerment and Engagement in Oakland

[Proposed script]

Hello_____

I am conducting a brief questionnaire concerning neighborhood council policies, public safety and community policing, community empowerment and engagement, and improving neighborhood-level quality of life across Oakland.

I am part of a volunteer Oakland advisory board (CPAB). Our board is working to create a more effective city structure for neighborhood-level priorities and goals to be elevated to City Hall so they can be addressed proactively and in partnership. We believe that a healthy and safe city means that all our neighbors are engaged, and all our voices are collectively heard.

We are seeking to understand the larger community's attitudes and feelings regarding the City's Neighborhood Council policy and if or how it can be improved. Today we want to hear from you! How are the existing city structures working and are there other ways or improvements we should all be considering?

For reference (as you may know, or not – see attached resolution 79235), Oakland has in place a tiered block-neighborhood-citywide district policy for directly engaging Oaklanders in “community policing” that was passed and adopted by the City Council in 2005 based on an earlier version from 1997. The current adopted policy is 20-years old – there are questions to its effectiveness and prioritization by the City. We are in the process of reviewing this resolution with a goal of updating and strengthening the activation of and support for our neighborhoods. Our board will be making structural recommendations to the City Council next year.

I have some open-ended questions I am going to ask which you can answer however you wish. This should take no longer than 20-30 minutes and we can stop at any point. The results of the questionnaires will be collected and tabulated and reported back to our CPAB and help us develop recommendations for updating the City's neighborhood council policy.

If you are interested in being more directly involved in this effort we welcome you to contact our resolution update committee chair, David Ralston daoudra1@gmail.com so we can connect you into our process.

Thank you

TO BE FILLED OUT VIA GOOGLE FORMS

1. Demographic info (all questions are optional and any personal identifying data will be confidential)

- Name _____
- Which neighborhood do you live in (or work in, or most familiar with)

- How long have you lived/worked here? _____
- Are you a homeowner or renter? Business owner? _____
- Which age group are you in: -20, 20-40, 40-60, 60-80, 80+
- What is your defined race/ethnicity: _____
- What is your occupation, type of work? _____

2. General

- What do you love about your neighborhood? What's already working?"
- What would make your neighborhood feel more connected or supported, what needs to change, what would make you proud to live there, etc.?
 - [additional prompts: Are there specific safety concerns? Quality of life concerns?]
- What would a safe, thriving, activated neighborhood and/or community look like, or feel like to you?
- How important is having an engaged and supported neighborhood to your wellbeing and quality of life?
- Do you feel the city hears and addresses the concerns of your neighborhood?
- What kind of support would help your neighborhood thrive?

3. About the current neighborhood council policy and specifics

- "What do you think about terms like 'Neighborhood Council,' 'community policing,' 'neighborhood empowerment,' 'civic engagement'?"
- Do you know about your own Neighborhood Council? Have you ever been?
 - [If necessary: These NCs exist for neighbors to discuss issues of public safety and quality of life issues like illegal dumping and work cooperatively with City

staff and Oakland Police Department Community Resource officers to provide solutions to these issues. They usually meet once a month either in person or via video.]

- Do you regularly attend, if not, why not? – What would make you want to be more involved?
- Does it feel like a useful way for the city to connect with your neighborhood?
- How well represented and inclusive are the Neighborhood Council with regards to your neighborhood? Are their notable groups missing? Do you have youth reps?
- What would neighborhood meetings look like in proactively addressing concerns (such as safety or infrastructure improvements) in a comprehensive way?
- Are there other effective means, venues or mechanisms you could suggest for working with City staff on issues, needs, or resources in your neighborhood associated with community safety, quality of life, and well-being?
- The City’s neighborhood-based approach looks at connecting the block level, neighborhood level, and citywide level. Is this still an appropriate and useful approach?
 - What is missing if anything from your perspective?
 - What do you think are any significant barriers to this neighborhood-based community safety approaches?
- Do you feel the City should provide stronger support for neighborhoods such as by creating an official “Department of Neighborhoods?”
- “Does your Neighborhood Council beat feel like your neighborhood? If not, what boundaries make more sense to you?”
- Are there key institutions, organizations, facilities, schools that are central to your neighborhood’s identity and cohesion?
- Are there any other issues on these subjects you want to discuss or share

4. Closing

- Would you like to be informed of this process as we go forward?
- May we contact you for follow up if necessary
 - Contact info (email, phone number)
- Do you wish to participate more directly?

Outreach List and Assignments Updated 1/26

Specific Outreach over next three months – End of April goal to provide sub-committee a summary and then report this to board.

- Faith in Action – IS
- BIDs - IS.
- Unity Council –JD
- BBNON - DR

- EBAYC - DR
- NTO - DR
- EONI - DR
- BCZ - DR
- Roots Clinic - DR
- Trybe - DR
- YvA Youth vs Apocalypse or other youth groups- DR
- Dimond – DD
- Ethic Chambers of Commerce? Jose?
- CCF Comunidad y comerciantes
- Block Captains - CB
- Oakland Sharing the Vision – DR
- Oakland chamber of commerce – Oakland BID alliance
- Maxwell Park - DD
- Youth Alive - DR
- Safer Rockridge - DR
- Neighborhood Law Corps - DR
- Council of PTA's
- Former NSC staff director - DR
- Reimagine police
- Northeastern
- PANIL
- Mayor's Public Safety lead
- OUSD safety lead, joyful schools

Other outreach and engagement means:

- City of Oakland PSA
- Tables at events – TBD (Liberation Park, Farmer's Markets, Prescott, Grandlake, Rockridge, etc.)
- Send out request to NC leads to conduct questionnaire or answer and if needed invite us to come talk. - CB
- Focused interviews with key NC that seem to be very well self-organized –Cleveland Heights, Golden Gate, Grand Lake
- Individual CM outreach – CM to lead/organize and to let them know in chair's report and make us available
- Ingrid to help set up google forms to fill out as we input responses.



Weekly Crime Report — Area 1

19 Jan. – 25 Jan., 2026

Part 1 Crimes <i>All totals include attempts except homicides.</i>	Weekly Total	YTD 2024	YTD 2025	YTD 2026	YTD % Change 2025 vs. 2026	3-Year YTD Average	YTD 2026 vs. 3-Year YTD Average
Violent Crime Index (homicide, aggravated assault, rape, robbery)	10	81	64	56	-13%	67	-16%
Homicide – 187(a)PC	-	2	1	1	0%	1	-25%
Homicide – All Other *	-	-	-	-	PNC	-	PNC
Subtotal - 187(a)PC + all other	-	2	1	1	0%	1	-25%
Aggravated Assault	8	44	39	36	-8%	40	-9%
Assault with a firearm – 245(a)(2)PC	1	2	3	4	33%	3	33%
Subtotal - Homicides + Firearm Assault	1	4	4	5	25%	4	15%
Shooting occupied home or vehicle – 246PC	1	1	6	2	-67%	3	-33%
Shooting unoccupied home or vehicle – 247(b)PC	-	-	3	-	-100%	1	-100%
Non-firearm aggravated assaults	6	41	27	30	11%	33	-8%
Rape	-	3	1	-	-100%	1	-100%
Robbery	2	32	23	19	-17%	25	-23%
Firearm	-	13	8	2	-75%	8	-74%
Knife	-	2	1	1	0%	1	-25%
Strong-arm	1	12	7	13	86%	11	22%
Other dangerous weapon	-	-	2	1	-50%	1	0%
Residential robbery – 212.5(a)PC	-	2	-	-	PNC	1	-100%
Carjacking – 215(a) PC	1	3	5	2	-60%	3	-40%
Burglary	8	227	140	63	-55%	143	-56%
Auto	4	199	100	41	-59%	113	-64%
Residential	1	6	16	7	-56%	10	-28%
Commercial	2	20	17	9	-47%	15	-41%
Other (Includes boats, aircraft, and so on)	-	1	7	2	-71%	3	-40%
Motor Vehicle Theft	17	143	90	73	-19%	102	-28%
Larceny	10	122	138	74	-46%	111	-34%
Arson	-	5	2	1	-50%	3	-63%
Total	45	578	434	267	-38%	426	-37%

THIS REPORT IS HIERARCHY BASED. CRIME TOTALS REFLECT ONE OFFENSE (THE MOST SEVERE) PER INCIDENT.

These statistics are drawn from the Oakland Police Dept. database. They are unaudited and not used to figure the crime numbers reported to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. This report is run by the date the crimes occurred. Statistics can be affected by late reporting, the geocoding process, or the reclassification or unbounding of crimes. Because crime reporting and data entry can run behind, all crimes may not be recorded.

* Justified, accidental, foetal, or manslaughter by negligence.

Traffic collision fatalities are not included in this report. Unclassified burglaries included in the burglary total.

PNC = Percentage not calculated — [Percentage cannot be calculated](#). All data extracted via CrimeTracer Analytics.



Weekly Crime Report — Area 2

19 Jan. – 25 Jan., 2026

Part 1 Crimes <i>All totals include attempts except homicides.</i>	Weekly Total	YTD 2024	YTD 2025	YTD 2026	YTD % Change 2025 vs. 2026	3-Year YTD Average	YTD 2026 vs. 3-Year YTD Average
Violent Crime Index (homicide, aggravated assault, rape, robbery)	7	66	43	22	-49%	44	-50%
Homicide – 187(a)PC	-	-	2	-	-100%	1	-100%
Homicide – All Other *	-	-	-	1	PNC	0	200%
Subtotal - 187(a)PC + all other	-	-	2	1	-50%	1	0%
Aggravated Assault	5	21	20	11	-45%	17	-37%
Assault with a firearm – 245(a)(2)PC	-	2	-	-	PNC	1	-100%
Subtotal - Homicides + Firearm Assault	-	2	2	1	-50%	2	-40%
Shooting occupied home or vehicle – 246PC	-	2	2	-	-100%	1	-100%
Shooting unoccupied home or vehicle – 247(b)PC	-	1	-	-	PNC	0	-100%
Non-firearm aggravated assaults	5	16	18	11	-39%	15	-27%
Rape	-	2	-	-	PNC	1	-100%
Robbery	2	43	21	10	-52%	25	-59%
Firearm	1	11	8	5	-38%	8	-38%
Knife	-	1	-	-	PNC	0	-100%
Strong-arm	1	23	10	5	-50%	13	-61%
Other dangerous weapon	-	3	2	-	-100%	2	-100%
Residential robbery – 212.5(a)PC	-	2	-	-	PNC	1	-100%
Carjacking – 215(a) PC	-	3	1	-	-100%	1	-100%
Burglary	23	266	223	123	-45%	204	-40%
Auto	22	203	177	116	-34%	165	-30%
Residential	-	25	37	2	-95%	21	-91%
Commercial	1	31	7	5	-29%	14	-65%
Other (Includes boats, aircraft, and so on)	-	7	2	-	-100%	3	-100%
Motor Vehicle Theft	12	138	75	62	-17%	92	-32%
Larceny	10	84	97	80	-18%	87	-8%
Arson	-	-	1	1	0%	1	50%
Total	52	554	439	288	-34%	427	-33%

THIS REPORT IS HIERARCHY BASED. CRIME TOTALS REFLECT ONE OFFENSE (THE MOST SEVERE) PER INCIDENT.

These statistics are drawn from the Oakland Police Dept. database. They are unaudited and not used to figure the crime numbers reported to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. This report is run by the date the crimes occurred. Statistics can be affected by late reporting, the geocoding process, or the reclassification or unfounding of crimes. Because crime reporting and data entry can run behind, all crimes may not be recorded.

* Justified, accidental, foetal, or manslaughter by negligence.

Traffic collision fatalities are not included in this report. Unclassified burglaries included in the burglary total.

PNC = Percentage not calculated — [Percentage cannot be calculated](#). All data extracted via CrimeTracer Analytics.



Weekly Crime Report — Area 3

19 Jan. – 25 Jan., 2026

Part 1 Crimes <i>All totals include attempts except homicides.</i>	Weekly Total	YTD 2024	YTD 2025	YTD 2026	YTD % Change 2025 vs. 2026	3-Year YTD Average	YTD 2026 vs. 3-Year YTD Average
Violent Crime Index (homicide, aggravated assault, rape, robbery)	13	90	64	48	-25%	67	-29%
Homicide – 187(a)PC	-	2	2	1	-50%	2	-40%
Homicide – All Other *	-	-	-	-	PNC	-	PNC
Subtotal - 187(a)PC + all other	-	2	2	1	-50%	2	-40%
Aggravated Assault	3	20	27	17	-37%	21	-20%
Assault with a firearm – 245(a)(2)PC	-	3	4	3	-25%	3	-10%
Subtotal - Homicides + Firearm Assault	-	5	6	4	-33%	5	-20%
Shooting occupied home or vehicle – 246PC	-	2	3	2	-33%	2	-14%
Shooting unoccupied home or vehicle – 247(b)PC	-	2	1	1	0%	1	-25%
Non-firearm aggravated assaults	3	13	19	11	-42%	14	-23%
Rape	1	4	3	2	-33%	3	-33%
Robbery	9	64	32	28	-13%	41	-32%
Firearm	4	25	8	10	25%	14	-30%
Knife	-	4	1	2	100%	2	-14%
Strong-arm	3	22	15	10	-33%	16	-36%
Other dangerous weapon	1	3	-	3	PNC	2	50%
Residential robbery – 212.5(a)PC	-	4	2	1	-50%	2	-57%
Carjacking – 215(a) PC	1	6	6	2	-67%	5	-57%
Burglary	3	162	88	19	-78%	90	-79%
Auto	1	121	64	9	-86%	65	-86%
Residential	-	24	12	5	-58%	14	-63%
Commercial	-	16	9	1	-89%	9	-88%
Other (Includes boats, aircraft, and so on)	-	1	3	2	-33%	2	0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	14	162	85	71	-16%	106	-33%
Larceny	16	131	107	65	-39%	101	-36%
Arson	-	1	2	-	-100%	1	-100%
Total	46	546	346	203	-41%	365	-44%

THIS REPORT IS HIERARCHY BASED. CRIME TOTALS REFLECT ONE OFFENSE (THE MOST SEVERE) PER INCIDENT.

These statistics are drawn from the Oakland Police Dept. database. They are unaudited and not used to figure the crime numbers reported to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. This report is run by the date the crimes occurred. Statistics can be affected by late reporting, the geocoding process, or the reclassification or unbounding of crimes. Because crime reporting and data entry can run behind, all crimes may not be recorded.

* Justified, accidental, foetal, or manslaughter by negligence.

Traffic collision fatalities are not included in this report. Unclassified burglaries included in the burglary total.

PNC = Percentage not calculated — [Percentage cannot be calculated](#). All data extracted via CrimeTracer Analytics.



Weekly Crime Report — Area 4

19 Jan. – 25 Jan., 2026

Part 1 Crimes <i>All totals include attempts except homicides.</i>	Weekly Total	YTD 2024	YTD 2025	YTD 2026	YTD % Change 2025 vs. 2026	3-Year YTD Average	YTD 2026 vs. 3-Year YTD Average
Violent Crime Index (homicide, aggravated assault, rape, robbery)	11	100	66	32	-52%	66	-52%
Homicide – 187(a)PC	-	2	2	1	-50%	2	-40%
Homicide – All Other *	-	-	-	-	PNC	-	PNC
Subtotal - 187(a)PC + all other	-	2	2	1	-50%	2	-40%
Aggravated Assault	7	27	22	16	-27%	22	-26%
Assault with a firearm – 245(a)(2)PC	-	6	1	1	0%	3	-63%
Subtotal - Homicides + Firearm Assault	-	8	3	2	-33%	4	-54%
Shooting occupied home or vehicle – 246PC	-	3	1	2	100%	2	0%
Shooting unoccupied home or vehicle – 247(b)PC	-	2	2	1	-50%	2	-40%
Non-firearm aggravated assaults	7	16	18	12	-33%	15	-22%
Rape	1	2	-	1	PNC	1	0%
Robbery	3	69	42	14	-67%	42	-66%
Firearm	1	39	22	7	-68%	23	-69%
Knife	-	2	1	2	100%	2	20%
Strong-arm	2	10	9	4	-56%	8	-48%
Other dangerous weapon	-	3	2	-	-100%	2	-100%
Residential robbery – 212.5(a)PC	-	4	1	-	-100%	2	-100%
Carjacking – 215(a) PC	-	11	7	1	-86%	6	-84%
Burglary	5	98	74	25	-66%	66	-62%
Auto	-	52	45	5	-89%	34	-85%
Residential	2	16	12	10	-17%	13	-21%
Commercial	-	26	14	3	-79%	14	-79%
Other (Includes boats, aircraft, and so on)	1	3	3	5	67%	4	36%
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	185	83	67	-19%	112	-40%
Larceny	9	100	104	60	-42%	88	-32%
Arson	-	4	2	-	-100%	2	-100%
Total	32	487	329	184	-44%	333	-45%

THIS REPORT IS HIERARCHY BASED. CRIME TOTALS REFLECT ONE OFFENSE (THE MOST SEVERE) PER INCIDENT.

These statistics are drawn from the Oakland Police Dept. database. They are unaudited and not used to figure the crime numbers reported to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. This report is run by the date the crimes occurred. Statistics can be affected by late reporting, the geocoding process, or the reclassification or unbounding of crimes. Because crime reporting and data entry can run behind, all crimes may not be recorded.

* Justified, accidental, foetal, or manslaughter by negligence.

Traffic collision fatalities are not included in this report. Unclassified burglaries included in the burglary total.

PNC = Percentage not calculated — [Percentage cannot be calculated](#). All data extracted via CrimeTracer Analytics.



Weekly Crime Report — Area 5

19 Jan. – 25 Jan., 2026

Part 1 Crimes <i>All totals include attempts except homicides.</i>	Weekly Total	YTD 2024	YTD 2025	YTD 2026	YTD % Change 2025 vs. 2026	3-Year YTD Average	YTD 2026 vs. 3-Year YTD Average
Violent Crime Index (homicide, aggravated assault, rape, robbery)	6	105	50	49	-2%	68	-28%
Homicide – 187(a)PC	-	1	-	1	PNC	1	50%
Homicide – All Other *	-	-	1	-	-100%	0	-100%
Subtotal - 187(a)PC + all other	-	1	1	1	0%	1	0%
Aggravated Assault	4	44	26	31	19%	34	-8%
Assault with a firearm – 245(a)(2)PC	-	5	2	1	-50%	3	-63%
Subtotal - Homicides + Firearm Assault	-	6	3	2	-33%	4	-45%
Shooting occupied home or vehicle – 246PC	-	7	3	4	33%	5	-14%
Shooting unoccupied home or vehicle – 247(b)PC	-	-	1	3	200%	1	125%
Non-firearm aggravated assaults	4	32	20	23	15%	25	-8%
Rape	-	-	-	1	PNC	0	200%
Robbery	2	60	23	16	-30%	33	-52%
Firearm	-	29	9	4	-56%	14	-71%
Knife	-	1	1	1	0%	1	0%
Strong-arm	1	9	6	6	0%	7	-14%
Other dangerous weapon	1	1	-	3	PNC	1	125%
Residential robbery – 212.5(a)PC	-	2	-	1	PNC	1	0%
Carjacking – 215(a) PC	-	18	7	1	-86%	9	-88%
Burglary	3	61	41	14	-66%	39	-64%
Auto	2	27	25	8	-68%	20	-60%
Residential	1	10	9	5	-44%	8	-38%
Commercial	-	22	7	-	-100%	10	-100%
Other (Includes boats, aircraft, and so on)	-	2	-	1	PNC	1	0%
Motor Vehicle Theft	5	208	72	40	-44%	107	-63%
Larceny	6	56	89	41	-54%	62	-34%
Arson	-	-	2	1	-50%	1	0%
Total	20	430	254	145	-43%	276	-48%

THIS REPORT IS HIERARCHY BASED. CRIME TOTALS REFLECT ONE OFFENSE (THE MOST SEVERE) PER INCIDENT.

These statistics are drawn from the Oakland Police Dept. database. They are unaudited and not used to figure the crime numbers reported to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. This report is run by the date the crimes occurred. Statistics can be affected by late reporting, the geocoding process, or the reclassification or unbounding of crimes. Because crime reporting and data entry can run behind, all crimes may not be recorded.

* Justified, accidental, foetal, or manslaughter by negligence.

Traffic collision fatalities are not included in this report. Unclassified burglaries included in the burglary total.

PNC = Percentage not calculated — [Percentage cannot be calculated](#). All data extracted via CrimeTracer Analytics.



Weekly Crime Report — Area 6

19 Jan. – 25 Jan., 2026

Part 1 Crimes <i>All totals include attempts except homicides.</i>	Weekly Total	YTD 2024	YTD 2025	YTD 2026	YTD % Change 2025 vs. 2026	3-Year YTD Average	YTD 2026 vs. 3-Year YTD Average
Violent Crime Index (homicide, aggravated assault, rape, robbery)	12	89	55	58	5%	67	-14%
Homicide – 187(a)PC	-	2	1	3	200%	2	50%
Homicide – All Other *	-	-	1	-	-100%	0	-100%
Subtotal - 187(a)PC + all other	-	2	2	3	50%	2	29%
Aggravated Assault	7	41	28	33	18%	34	-3%
Assault with a firearm – 245(a)(2)PC	-	4	4	3	-25%	4	-18%
Subtotal - Homicides + Firearm Assault	-	6	6	6	0%	6	0%
Shooting occupied home or vehicle – 246PC	-	6	-	-	PNC	2	-100%
Shooting unoccupied home or vehicle – 247(b)PC	-	2	4	1	-75%	2	-57%
Non-firearm aggravated assaults	7	29	20	29	45%	26	12%
Rape	-	3	-	-	PNC	1	-100%
Robbery	5	43	25	22	-12%	30	-27%
Firearm	1	21	13	4	-69%	13	-68%
Knife	-	2	1	-	-100%	1	-100%
Strong-arm	2	12	4	7	75%	8	-9%
Other dangerous weapon	-	-	2	1	-50%	1	0%
Residential robbery – 212.5(a)PC	1	-	-	3	PNC	1	200%
Carjacking – 215(a) PC	1	8	5	7	40%	7	5%
Burglary	2	124	38	16	-58%	59	-73%
Auto	1	102	21	7	-67%	43	-84%
Residential	1	9	4	5	25%	6	-17%
Commercial	-	10	12	3	-75%	8	-64%
Other (Includes boats, aircraft, and so on)	-	3	1	1	0%	2	-40%
Motor Vehicle Theft	12	174	53	72	36%	100	-28%
Larceny	7	146	76	41	-46%	88	-53%
Arson	-	1	2	1	-50%	1	-25%
Total	33	534	224	188	-16%	315	-40%

THIS REPORT IS HIERARCHY BASED. CRIME TOTALS REFLECT ONE OFFENSE (THE MOST SEVERE) PER INCIDENT.

These statistics are drawn from the Oakland Police Dept. database. They are unaudited and not used to figure the crime numbers reported to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. This report is run by the date the crimes occurred. Statistics can be affected by late reporting, the geocoding process, or the reclassification or unfounding of crimes. Because crime reporting and data entry can run behind, all crimes may not be recorded.

* Justified, accidental, foetal, or manslaughter by negligence.

Traffic collision fatalities are not included in this report. Unclassified burglaries included in the burglary total.

PNC = Percentage not calculated — [Percentage cannot be calculated](#). All data extracted via CrimeTracer Analytics.