

Thursday September 1, 2022 6:30 pm  
Teleconference Meeting

## AGENDA

Pursuant to the Governor's Executive Order N-29-20 and AB 361, members of the Cannabis Regulatory Commission as well as City staff will participate via phone/video conference and no physical teleconference locations are required.

### **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION INSTRUCTIONS**

#### **TO OBSERVE:**

- To observe the meeting by video conference, please click the link below to join the webinar:  
<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81429463064>
- To listen to the meeting by phone, please call the numbers below at the noticed meeting time: Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 669 900 9128 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 646 558 8656  
or +1 301 715 8592

For each number, please be patient and when requested, dial the following Webinar ID: 814 2946 3064

After calling any of these phone numbers, if you are asked for a participant ID or code, press #. Instructions on how to join a meeting by phone are available at: <https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362663>, which is a webpage entitled “Joining a Meeting By Phone.”

**TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT:** There are three ways to make public comment within the time allotted for public comment on an eligible Agenda item.

- Comment in advance. To send your comment to staff before the meeting starts, please send your comment, along with your full name and agenda item number you are commenting on, to Greg Minor at [gminor@oaklandca.gov](mailto:gminor@oaklandca.gov). All submitted public comment will be provided to the Cannabis Regulatory Commission prior to the meeting.
- By Video Conference. To comment by Zoom video conference, click the “Raise Your Hand” button to request to speak when Public Comment is being taken on an eligible agenda item at the beginning of the meeting. You will then be unmuted, during your turn, and allowed to participate in public comment. After the allotted time, you will then be re-muted. Instructions on how to “Raise Your Hand” are available at: <https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/205566129>, which is a webpage entitled “Raise Hand In Webinar.”

Persons may speak on any item appearing on the agenda; however, a Speaker Card must be filled out and given to a representative of the Cannabis Regulatory Commission. Multiple agenda items cannot be listed on one speaker card. If a speaker signs up to speak on multiple items listed on the agenda, the Chairperson may rule that the speaker be given an appropriate allocation of time to address all issues at one time (cumulative) before the items are called. All speakers will be allotted 3 minutes or less – unless the Chairperson allots additional time.

♿ This meeting is wheelchair accessible. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in the meetings of the Cannabis Regulatory Commission, please contact the Office of the City Clerk (510) 238-3612. Notification two full business days prior to the meeting will enable the City of Oakland to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility. In compliance with Oakland’s policy for people with chemical sensitivities, please refrain from wearing strongly scented products to events.

Questions or concerns regarding this agenda, or to review any agenda-related materials, please contact the Cannabis Regulatory Commission at (510) 238-6370.

• By Phone. To comment by phone, please call on one of the above listed phone numbers. You will be prompted to “Raise Your Hand” by pressing STAR-NINE (“\*9”) to request to speak when Public Comment is being taken on a eligible agenda item at the beginning of the meeting.

Once it is your turn, you will be unmuted and allowed to make your comment. After the allotted time, you will be re-muted. Instructions of how to raise your hand by phone are available at: <https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362663>, which is a webpage entitled “Joining a Meeting by Phone.”

If you have any questions about these protocols, please e-mail Greg Minor, at [gminor@oaklandca.gov](mailto:gminor@oaklandca.gov)

**Members:**

Javier Armas	District 1	Vacant	District 7
Tracey Corder	District 2	Chaney Turner	At Large
Taib Alaoui	District 3	Eric Medrano	Mayor
Lauren Payne	District 4	TiYanna Long	City Auditor
Vacant	District 5	Greg Minor	City Administrator
Vacant	District 6		

Available on-line at: <https://www.oaklandca.gov/boards-commissions/cannabis-regulatory-commission>

## MEETING AGENDA

- A. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum
- B. Approval of the Draft Minutes from the CRC Special Meeting on August 11, 2022
- C. Reports for Discussion and Possible Action
  1. Adopt a Resolution Determining That Conducting In-Person Meetings of The Cannabis Regulatory Commission Would Present Imminent Risks to Attendees’ Health, And Electing to Continue Conducting Meetings Using Teleconferencing in Accordance with California Government Code Section 54953(E), A Provision Of AB-361
  2. The Process of Communication from the City Administrator’s Office with the Cannabis Regulatory Commission and the Role of the City Administrator’s Representative
  3. Implementation Update on State Grants
- D. Review of the Pending List and Additions to Next Month’s Agenda
  - *Building and Fire Baseline Permitting Timelines (since January 2022)*
  - *Details on Proposed Legislative Framework for Next Phase of Cannabis Program (since February 2022)*
  - *Finalize Exit Interview Survey for Withdrawn Applications (since July 2022)*
  - *Additional Data from Finance Department regarding gross receipts of cannabis businesses by type (since August 2022)*
  - *Potential Loan Forgiveness Policy for Equity Applicants (since August 2022)*
  - *Potential Policy for the Transfer of Permits from Equity to General Applicants (since August 2022)*
- E. Open Forum / Public Comment
- F. Announcements

1. Update on Cannabis Permitting Process
2. Apply to serve on CRC here:  
<https://oakland.granicus.com/boards/w/8552f8c4c0e15460/boards/6697>
3. October 3, 2022 is the deadline for submitting response to [Request for Proposals](#) (RFP) for cannabis equity applicants, shared-use manufacturing technical assistance, security measures for provisional licensees, and the cannabis equity property purchase program.

G. Adjournment

Thursday August 11, 2022 7:15 pm  
Teleconference Meeting

## MINUTES

Pursuant to the Governor's Executive Order N-29-20 and AB 361, members of the Cannabis Regulatory Commission as well as City staff will participate via phone/video conference and no physical teleconference locations are required.

### **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION INSTRUCTIONS**

#### **TO OBSERVE:**

- To observe the meeting by video conference, please click the link below to join the webinar:  
<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86458172193>
- To listen to the meeting by phone, please call the numbers below at the noticed meeting time: Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 408 638 0968 or +1 669 444 9171 or +1 669 900 6833 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799  
or +1 719 359 4580 or +1 646 931 3860 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 309 205 3325 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1  
386 347 5053 or +1 564 217 2000 or +1 646 876 9923 or 888 475 4499

For each number, please be patient and when requested, dial the following Webinar ID: 864 5817 2193

After calling any of these phone numbers, if you are asked for a participant ID or code, press #. Instructions on how to join a meeting by phone are available at: <https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362663>, which is a webpage entitled "Joining a Meeting By Phone."

**TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT:** There are three ways to make public comment within the time allotted for public comment on an eligible Agenda item.

- Comment in advance. To send your comment to staff before the meeting starts, please send your comment, along with your full name and agenda item number you are commenting on, to Greg Minor at [gminor@oaklandca.gov](mailto:gminor@oaklandca.gov). All submitted public comment will be provided to the Cannabis Regulatory Commission prior to the meeting.
- By Video Conference. To comment by Zoom video conference, click the "Raise Your Hand" button to request to speak when Public Comment is being taken on an eligible agenda item at the beginning of the meeting. You will then be unmuted, during your turn, and allowed to participate in public comment. After the allotted time, you will then be re-muted. Instructions on how to "Raise Your Hand" are available at: <https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/205566129>, which is a webpage entitled "Raise Hand In Webinar."

Persons may speak on any item appearing on the agenda; however, a Speaker Card must be filled out and given to a representative of the Cannabis Regulatory Commission. Multiple agenda items cannot be listed on one speaker card. If a speaker signs up to speak on multiple items listed on the agenda, the Chairperson may rule that the speaker be given an appropriate allocation of time to address all issues at one time (cumulative) before the items are called. All speakers will be allotted 3 minutes or less – unless the Chairperson allots additional time.

♿ This meeting is wheelchair accessible. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in the meetings of the Cannabis Regulatory Commission, please contact the Office of the City Clerk (510) 238-3612. Notification two full business days prior to the meeting will enable the City of Oakland to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility. In compliance with Oakland's policy for people with chemical sensitivities, please refrain from wearing strongly scented products to events.

Questions or concerns regarding this agenda, or to review any agenda-related materials, please contact the Cannabis Regulatory Commission at (510) 238-6370.

• By Phone. To comment by phone, please call on one of the above listed phone numbers. You will be prompted to “Raise Your Hand” by pressing STAR-NINE (“\*9”) to request to speak when Public Comment is being taken on an eligible agenda item at the beginning of the meeting.

Once it is your turn, you will be unmuted and allowed to make your comment. After the allotted time, you will be re-muted. Instructions of how to raise your hand by phone are available at: <https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362663>, which is a webpage entitled “Joining a Meeting by Phone.”

If you have any questions about these protocols, please e-mail Greg Minor, at [gminor@oaklandca.gov](mailto:gminor@oaklandca.gov)

**Members:**

Javier Armas	District 1	Vacant	District 7
Tracey Corder	District 2	Chaney Turner	At Large
Taib Alaoui	District 3	Eric Medrano	Mayor
Lauren Payne	District 4	TiYanna Long	City Auditor
Vacant	District 5	Greg Minor	City Administrator
Vacant	District 6		

Available on-line at: <https://www.oaklandca.gov/boards-commissions/cannabis-regulatory-commission>

## MEETING AGENDA

A. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum

*Present: Armas, Payne, Turner, Medrano, Long, Minor*

*Absent: Corder, Alaoui*

B. Approval of the Draft Minutes from the CRC Meeting on July 7, 2022

*Chair Turner moved to approve the minutes as drafted. Vice-Chair Long seconded the motion and it passed by consensus.*

C. Reports for Discussion and Possible Action

1. Adopt a Resolution Determining That Conducting In-Person Meetings of The Cannabis Regulatory Commission Would Present Imminent Risks to Attendees’ Health, And Electing to Continue Conducting Meetings Using Teleconferencing in Accordance with California Government Code Section 54953(E), A Provision Of AB-361

*Chair Turner moved to approve the minutes as drafted. Member Payne seconded the motion and it passed by consensus.*

2. What Can the City of Oakland and the CRC Do to Support the Oakland Cannery and How Can the City Ensure Regulations Protect Oakland Residents from Similar Situations?

*Paul Grazzini, Supervising Air Quality Specialist with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), presented a powerpoint with information on the regulations governing the use of generators. Multiple public speakers expressed frustration around the lack of enforcement of unpermitted generators used by cannabis cultivators.*

*Chair Turner, Vice Chair Long, and Member Payne thanked public speakers for sharing their concerns with the CRC and expressed their frustration with the use of generators by cannabis cultivators.*

*Member Armas added that the use of generators is unnecessary considering outdoor cannabis operators across the state.*

*Chair Turner then made a motion for the CRC to send a formal statement to the Department of Cannabis Control (DCC) and City Council stating that the use of generators is unacceptable, that Green Sage is violating the law, and that the cannabis operators' licenses should be suspended until the generators are removed.*

### 3. Potential Loan Forgiveness Policy for Equity Applicants

*Member Minor provided an overview of the topic. Vice-Chair Long inquired about who would provide education to equity applicants regarding their tax liability if they were eligible for loan forgiveness. Vice-Chair Long also expressed an openness to a loan forgiveness policy in light of COVID provided equity applicants who had loan repayments forgiven had to wait a period of time before being eligible for additional City funds. Member Payne similarly offered support for some reasonable break between loan forgiveness and access to new funds and suggested that there be a requirement that the company is no longer in operation in order to qualify for loan forgiveness. Chair Turner also supported financial workshops to walk equity applicants through any tax implications.*

*Chair Turner then made a motion for the Finance Department to provide additional data on Oakland's cannabis industry. Specifically, Chair Turner requested that the Finance Department provide gross receipt data for both general and equity applicants from 2018 to the present. Vice-Chair Long seconded the motion and it passed by consensus.*

### 4. Potential Policy for the Transfer of Permits from Equity to General Applicants

*Member Minor provided an overview of the topic, including the CRC's past debates on this topic. Public speakers both encouraged the CRC to be thoughtful and move slowly while considering this topic and for the CRC to move quickly and allow for equity applicants to sell their businesses to capitalize on existing values.*

*Member Armas mentioned that investors currently view equity applicants' licenses as second class and not as valuable because of existing transfer restrictions. Member Armas also encouraged the City to collect data from and sales of equity businesses to monitor whether they are predatory. Member Payne supported the idea of allowing equity businesses to sell their businesses after a period of time but wondered if disclosure of sale information to the City would impact the price of business sales. Chair Turner noted that this topic is related to the loan forgiveness topic and that there should be educational workshops if transfers and business sales are allowed.*

*Chair Turner then made a motion for the CRC to wait until it receives additional data from the Finance Department on the cannabis industry from 2018-present. Member Armas seconded the motion and it passed by consensus.*

### 5. Implementation Update on State Grants

*Member Minor offered an update. Public speakers inquired about the status of new grant funds and the challenges of waiting for new state grant funds to be available.*

*Chair Turner then made a motion to skip item D and proceed to Open Forum. Vice-Chair Long seconded the motion and it passed by consensus.*

- D. Review of the Pending List and Additions to Next Month's Agenda
- *Building and Fire Baseline Permitting Timelines (since January 2022)*
  - *Details on Proposed Legislative Framework for Next Phase of Cannabis Program (since February 2022)*
  - *Finalize Exit Interview Survey for Withdrawn Applications (since July 2022)*

E. Open Forum / Public Comment

*Members of the public again expressed frustration regarding the ongoing use of generators by cannabis cultivators.*

F. Announcements

1. Update on Cannabis Permitting Process
2. Apply to serve on CRC here:  
<https://oakland.granicus.com/boards/w/8552f8c4c0e15460/boards/6697>

G. Adjournment

# OAKLAND CANNABIS REGULATORY COMMISSION

## RESOLUTION NO. 2022-8

---

**ADOPT A RESOLUTION DETERMINING THAT CONDUCTING IN-PERSON MEETINGS OF THE CANNABIS REGULATORY COMMISSION AND ITS COMMITTEES WOULD PRESENT IMMINENT RISKS TO ATTENDEES' HEALTH, AND ELECTING TO CONTINUE CONDUCTING MEETINGS USING TELECONFERENCING IN ACCORDANCE WITH CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953(e), A PROVISION OF AB-361.**

**WHEREAS**, on March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom declared a state of emergency related to COVID-19, pursuant to Government Code Section 8625, and such declaration has not been lifted or rescinded. *See* <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/3.4.20-Coronavirus-SOE-Proclamation.pdf>; and

**WHEREAS**, on March 9, 2020, the City Administrator in their capacity as the Director of the Emergency Operations Center (EOC), issued a proclamation of local emergency due to the spread of COVID-19 in Oakland, and on March 12, 2020, the City Council passed Resolution No. 88075 C.M.S. ratifying the proclamation of local emergency pursuant to Oakland Municipal Code (O.M.C.) section 8.50.050(C); and

**WHEREAS**, City Council Resolution No. 88075 remains in full force and effect to date; and

**WHEREAS**, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) recommends physical distancing of at least six (6) feet whenever possible, avoiding crowds, and avoiding spaces that do not offer fresh air from the outdoors, particularly for people who are not fully vaccinated or who are at higher risk of getting very sick from COVID-19. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html>; and

**WHEREAS**, the CDC recommends that people who live with unvaccinated people avoid activities that make physical distancing hard. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/your-health/about-covid-19/caring-for-children/families.html>; and

**WHEREAS**, the CDC recommends that older adults limit in-person interactions as much as possible, particularly when indoors. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/aging/covid19/covid19-older-adults.html>; and

**WHEREAS**, the CDC, the California Department of Public Health, and the Alameda County Public Health Department all recommend that people experiencing COVID-19

symptoms stay home. See <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>; and

**WHEREAS**, persons without symptoms may be able to spread the COVID-19 virus. See <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html>; and

**WHEREAS**, fully vaccinated persons who become infected with the COVID-19 Delta variant can spread the virus to others. See <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/fully-vaccinated.html>; and

**WHEREAS**, the City's public-meeting facilities are indoor facilities that do not ensure circulation of fresh / outdoor air, particularly during periods of cold and/or rainy weather, and were not designed to ensure that attendees can remain six (6) feet apart; and

**WHEREAS**, holding in-person meetings would encourage community members to come to City facilities to participate in local government, and some of them would be at high risk of getting very sick from COVID-19 and/or would live with someone who is at high risk; and

**WHEREAS**, in-person meetings would tempt community members who are experiencing COVID-19 symptoms to leave their homes in order to come to City facilities and participate in local government; and

**WHEREAS**, attendees would use ride-share services and/or public transit to travel to in-person meetings, thereby putting them in close and prolonged contact with additional people outside of their households; and

**WHEREAS**, on October 7, 2021 the Cannabis Regulatory Commission adopted a resolution determining that conducting in-person meetings would present imminent risks to attendees' health, and electing to continue conducting meetings using teleconferencing in accordance with California Government Code Section 54953(e), a provision of AB-361; now therefore be it:

**RESOLVED:** that the Cannabis Regulatory Commission finds and determines that the foregoing recitals are true and correct and hereby adopts and incorporates them into this resolution; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** that, based on these determinations and consistent with federal, state and local health guidance, the Cannabis Regulatory Commission renews its determination that conducting in-person meetings would pose imminent risks to the health of attendees; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** that the Cannabis Regulatory Commission firmly believes that the community's health and safety and the community's right to participate in local government, are both critically important, and is committed to balancing the two by continuing to use teleconferencing to conduct public meetings, in accordance with California Government Code Section 54953(e), a provision of AB-361; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** that the Cannabis Regulatory Commission will renew these (or similar) findings at least every thirty (30) days in accordance with California Government Code section 54953(e) until the state of emergency related to COVID-19 has been lifted, or the Cannabis Regulatory Commission that in-person meetings no longer pose imminent risks to the health of attendees, whichever occurs first.



## Cannabis Regulatory Commission

---

**TO:** Cannabis Regulatory Commission      **FROM:** Greg Minor  
Assistant to the City  
Administrator

**SUBJECT:** September 2022 Agenda Items      **DATE:** August 29, 2022

---

ITEM C (1) Adopt a Resolution Determining That Conducting In-Person Meetings Of The Cannabis Regulatory Commission Would Present Imminent Risks To Attendees' Health, And Electing To Continue Conducting Meetings Using Teleconferencing In Accordance With California Government Code Section 54953(E), A Provision Of AB-361

2021 Assembly Bill (AB) 361 requires boards and commission to renew findings that conducting in-person meetings would present imminent health risks and to elect to continue conducting meetings via teleconference. The CRC adopted an initial resolution to this effect at a Special Meeting on October 7, 2021 and attached to this agenda is a Resolution 2022 renewing these findings.

ITEM C (2) The Process of Communication from the City Administrator's Office with the Cannabis Regulatory Commission and the Role of the City Administrator's Representative

Chair Turner has requested a discussion regarding the process of communication from the City Administrator's Office with the Cannabis Regulatory Commission and the role of the City Administrator's representative.

A. The Process of Communication from the City Administrator’s Office with the Cannabis Regulatory Commission

In alignment with the CRC’s bylaws, the Brown Act, and Robert’s Rules of Order, to date items placed on the agenda for CRC meetings have consisted of:

- (i) topics the CRC has voted to place on an upcoming agenda;
- (ii) topics the CRC Chair has asked to place on the agenda; or
- (iii) upcoming City policies that staff have recommended that the Chair place on the agenda for the CRC’s review prior to the City implementing the new policies.

To the extent the CRC wishes to adjust the process for placing items on the CRC agenda staff welcomes any recommendations.

B. Role of City Administrator Representative

Measure Z and its implementing Ordinance No. 12694 created an eleven-member advisory committee consisting of one community member appointed by each City Councilmember, one community member appointed by the Mayor, one representative appointed by the Oakland City Auditor and one representative appointed by the City Administrator. Since the inception of the CRC, the CRC’s City Administrator representative has consisted of a member of the City Administrator’s Office<sup>1</sup>: Niccolo De Luca from 2006-2008, William Uber 2009-2010, Jeff Baker 2010-2011, Joe DeVries 2011-2017, and Greg Minor 2017-present. The City Administrator representative has served as staff to the CRC, through the taking of notes and compiling of agendas, as well as a liaison to the City Administration and external agencies regarding cannabis policies.

ITEM C (3) Implementation Update on State Grants

The City of Oakland received three state grants in 2020-2021 to support its cannabis equity program: in the spring of 2020 the City received a grant of approximately \$1,650,000 from the Bureau of Cannabis Control (BCC); in the summer of 2020 the City received a \$6,576,705 grant from the Governor’s Office of Business and Economic Development (Go-Biz) (Go-Biz 1 Grant); then in the summer of 2021 the City received a \$2,434,712.51 from Go-Biz (Go-Biz 2 Grant). The City has disbursed all of the BCC grants funds and all

---

<sup>1</sup> In 2021 the Special Activity Permits Division transferred from the City Administrator’s Office to the Economic and Workforce Development Department (EWDD).

but approximately \$200,000 of the Go-Biz 1 and Go-Biz 2 grants, which consist of second installments of workforce development and shared-use manufacturing grants.

In April 2022 the City of Oakland received a three-year \$9,905,020 Local Jurisdiction Assistance Grant from the Department of Cannabis Control (DCC) to support the transition of cannabis operators from a provisional to an annual state license. In June 2022 the City received the latest one-year grant from Go-Biz to support the City's Equity Program in an amount of \$5,435,140.82 (Go-Biz 3). Finally, this spring the City Council approved adjustments to a three-year grant from the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) to address the impacts of cannabis legalization. Staff is now beginning to disburse these funds as outlined in **Figures 1-3** month and will provide status updates moving forward.

Additionally, in July staff released a [Request for Proposals](#) (RFP) for funds for events for cannabis equity applicants, shared-use manufacturing technical assistance, security measures for provisional licensees, and the cannabis equity property purchase program. The deadline for RFP responses is Monday October 3<sup>rd</sup>.

Also, in August staff increased the grant funding available to equity applicants to match the amounts available through the loan program and introduced additional specialty grant programs. More information on the City's loan and grant programs for equity applicants is available here: <https://www.elevateimpactoakland.com/>

**Figure 1- Local Jurisdiction Assistance Grant Funds**

<b>LOCAL JURISDICTION ASSISTANCE GRANT BUDGET</b>		
<b>USES</b>	<b>COST IN YEAR ONE</b>	<b>COST OVER THREE YEARS</b>
Grants to Provisionally Licensed Equity Applicants	\$574,218.50	\$1,722,655.50
Special Activity Permit Technician	\$166,810	\$500,430
Planning CEQA Review	\$9,237	\$27,710
Program Analyst for Processing Grants	\$198,640	\$595,920
Process Coordinator II in Building Bureau	\$175,696	\$527,088
Overtime for Civil Engineers to Review Plans	\$591,075	\$1,773,224
Overtime for Code Enforcement	\$78,115.80	\$234,347
Hazardous Materials Inspector II	\$193,546	\$580,638
Overtime for Fire Plan Engineers to Review Plans	\$73,760	\$221,280
Overtime for Fire Code Enforcement	\$61,824.00	\$185,472
Overtime for Municipal Code Enforcement Officers for Security Inspections	\$50,000	\$150,000
Annual CPTED Training for Municipal Code Enforcement Officers	\$1,200	\$3,600
Establishing/Maintaining Accela Module for Cannabis Permit Tracking	\$310,000	\$430,000
Grants to Meet Security Requirements	\$574,218.50	\$1,722,655.50
Consultant TBD to Administer Loan/Grant Programs	\$160,000	\$480,000
Consultant TBD to Provide Legal Assistance to Equity Applicants	\$125,000	\$375,000
Consultant TBD to Provide Technical Assistance to Equity Applicants	\$125,000	\$375,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,468,341</b>	<b>\$9,905,020</b>

**Figure 2- 2022-2023 Go-Biz (G0-Biz 3) Grant Funds**

<b>Uses</b>	<b>Amount of Funds</b>
A. Grants/Loans to Operators For Start-Up and Ongoing Costs and Events	\$2,428,953.10
B. No-Interest Loan to Purchase Property	\$2,250,000.00
C. Managing Shared-Use Facilities	\$212,915.36
D. Workforce Development	\$330,357.00
E. Half of a City Administrator Analyst	\$122,959.00
F. Administering of Grant/Loan Programs	\$89,956.36
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$5,435,140.82</b>

**Figure 3- Original and Current Uses of Proposition 64 Grant**

<b>Original and Proposed/New Uses of Proposition 64 (BSCC) Grant Funds</b>				
<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Original Organization</b>	<b>Original Amount</b>	<b>New or Same Organization</b>	<b>New or Same Amount</b>
Preventative and Intervention Activities for Youth	East Oakland Youth Development Center (EOYDC)	\$360,000	Youth Uprising	\$310,000
Develop and Support Youth Awareness Campaign	Public Health Institute	\$133,306	Same	\$163,306
Data Management/Local Evaluation Reports	Cityspan	\$30,000	LMB Creative Group, LLC	\$50,000
Security Workshops and Support for Cannabis Businesses	City of Oakland: 8 Hours Weekly Police Officer Overtime	\$112,329	Same	Same
Public Outreach Campaign to Adults	To Be Determined After Request for Proposals	\$70,000	Make Green Go Selected After RFP	Same
Meeting Supplies and Notification	EOYDC, OUSD, PHI	\$32,000	Youth Uprising, OUSD, PHI	Same
Indirect Costs/Overhead	City of Oakland	\$29,059	Same	Same
Oversee Overall Program	City of Oakland: 0.5 F.T.E. City Administrator Analyst	\$231,000	Same	Same
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>\$997,694</b>

ITEM F (1) Update on Cannabis Permitting Process

Below please find cannabis permitting statistics for the CRC's review, including additional categories as well as application and permit trend graphs.

**Figure 1: Application Totals**

<b><i>APPLICATIONS</i></b>	<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>PENDING</b>
Total Complete & Incomplete Applications	1688	135
Total Complete Applications	1688	
Complete General Applications	409	
Equity Applications based on residency	823	
Equity Applications based on conviction	132	
Incubators	382	
Interested in Incubating	27	
Complete Application with property	1168	
Complete Application without property (Equity)	421	
Complete Applicants without property (General)	99	

**Figure 2: Permit Applications by Category**

<b>COMPLETED APPLICATIONS BY BUSINESS TYPE</b>	<b>GENERAL</b>	<b>INCUBATOR*</b>	<b>INTERESTED IN INCUBATING*</b>	<b>EQUITY</b>
Delivery	184	65	4	246
Cultivator (Indoor)	218	130	17	166
Cultivator (Outdoor)	5	3	0	38
Distributor	162	90	4	229
Mfg. Volatile	56	39	0	48
Mfg. Non-Volatile	102	50	2	180
Transporter	5	4	0	38
Lab Testing	1	1	0	10
<b>GRAND TOTALS</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>955</b>

\*These numbers are part of the General Total

**Figure 3: Operators Locally Authorized for Provisional or Annual State License by Category**

<b>LOCALLY AUTHORIZED FOR STATE *ANNUAL/PROVISIONAL LICENSES*</b>	<b>GENERAL</b>	<b>INCUBATOR</b>	<b>EQUITY</b>	
Dispensary				
Delivery	60	52	140	
Cultivator	26	93	54	
Distributor	28	79	118	
Mfg. Volatile	5	29	7	
Mfg. Non-Volatile	27	74	114	
Transporter	1	2	6	
Lab Testing	0	2	2	
Retailers	3	1	3	
<b>TOTALS:</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>926</b>

\*These figures represent those who have actually applied with the state for their provisional/annual license  
There are additional applicants who are locally authorized, but who have not yet applied with the state.

**Figure 4: New Permits Issued to Cannabis Operators Since Spring of 2017 by Category**

	GENERAL	INCUBATOR	EQUITY	TOTAL
<b><i>NEW ANNUAL PERMITS BY BUSINESS TYPE</i></b>				
On-site Consumption			2	2
Dispensary	1	1	8	10
Delivery	64	15	80	159
Cultivator (Indoor)	4	5	5	14
Cultivator (Outdoor)	0	0	0	0
Distributor	15	22	77	114
Mfg. Volatile	0	5	0	5
Mfg. Non-Volatile	8	10	39	57
Transporter	1	0	1	2
Lab Testing	0	0	0	0
<b>GRAND TOTALS</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>363</b>


**Figure 5: Withdrawn Applications**

<b>WITHDRAWN APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>GENERAL</b>	<b>INCUBATOR</b>	<b>EQUITY</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>
Delivery	13	29	64	106
Cultivator (Indoor)	8	16	37	61
Cultivator (Outdoor)	14	13	11	38
Distributor	7	42	53	102
Mfg. Volatile	2	15	22	39
Mfg. Non-Volatile	6	26	37	69
Transporter	6	1	16	23
Lab Testing		3	3	6
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>444</b>

**Figure 6: Revoked Local Authorization**

<b>REVOKED LOCAL AUTHORIZATION</b>	<b>GENERAL</b>	<b>INCUBATOR</b>	<b>EQUITY</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>
Delivery	1	6	6	13
Cultivator (Indoor)	5	20	6	31
Cultivator (Outdoor)				0
Distributor	1	5	4	10
Mfg. Volatile		3		3
Mfg. Non-Volatile		3	1	4
Transporter		1		1
Lab Testing				0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>62</b>

**Figure 7: Graph of Cannabis Permit Applications Received Since 2017**



**Figure 8: Graph of Cannabis Permits Issued since 2018**

