

Oakland Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board

OAKLAND LANDMARK, S-7/S20 PRESERVATION COMBINING ZONE, OR HERITAGE PROPERTY APPLICATION FORM

This form is for use in requesting the City of Oakland pursuant to its Zoning Regulations to designate a Landmark or Heritage Property or to rezone one or more properties to the S-7 or S-20 Preservation Combining Zone.

| | IDENTIFICATION | | |
|---|---|---------|------------------------|
| | Historic Name: | | |
| | and/or Common Name: | | |
| | ADDRESS/LOCATION | | |
| | Street and number: | | Zip Code: |
| | Assessor's Parcel Number: | | <u> </u> |
| | OWNER OF PROPERTY | | |
| | Name: | email:_ | |
| | Street/Number: | | Telephone |
| | City: | State: | Zip Code: |
| | APPLICATION FOR | | |
| | City LandmarkHeritage Property | S-7 | District S-20 District |
| | SURVEY RATING NAME OF SURVEY Oakland Cultural Heritage Su | | |
| | | | |
| • | oto | L | ocation Map |
| • | oto | L | ocation Map |
| • | oto | L | ocation Map |
| | oto | L | ocation Map |
| | oto | L | ocation Map |
| | oto | L | ocation Map |
| | oto | L | ocation Map |
| | oto | L | ocation Map |
| | oto | L | ocation Map |

7. **DESCRIPTION**

| A. | Resource Type and Use | : Present (P) and H | listoric (H) | |
|----|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | Building(s) | District | Structure | SiteObject |
| | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Institutional |
| | Other (specify): | | | |
| В. | Condition: | C. | Alterations: | D. Site |
| | Excellent | Fair | Unaltered | Original Site |
| | Good | Poor | Altered | Moved (Date) |
| E. | Style/Type: | | | |
| F. | Describe the present | and original (if kno | own) physical appea | rance: |

| Landmark, S-7, S-20, or Heritage Property Application p. | .3 |
|--|----|
|--|----|

| 8. | SIGNIFICANCE |
|----|---|
| A. | Construction date(s): |
| В. | Architect/Builder/Designer: |
| C. | Statement of Significance (include summary statement of significance as first paragraph): |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

SOURCES / BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

9.

10. FORM PREPARED BY

| Nam | e: | | | |
|----------|--|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Orga | nization/Title (if any): | | Date: | |
| Addı | 'ess: | | Telephone: | |
| City | Town: State: | Zip: | Email | |
| | ENTAL USE ONLY | | Data | rev. 1/10/202 |
| A. B. | Accepted by:Action by Landmarks Preservation Adv | | | |
| | (1)RecommendedNot recor | | J | 1 |
| | (2)Designated as Heritage Prope | | | |
| С. | Action by City Planning Commission | Date: _ | | |
| | RecommendedNot recom | nmended for | Landmark/ S-7/S-20 designation | l |
| D. | Action by City Council | Date: _ | | |
| | Designated: Ordinance No: | | Not Designated | |



Oakland Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUBMIT AN OAKLAND LANDMARK AND S-7 PRESERVATION COMBINING ZONE APPLICATION FORM

The undersigned propose that the Oakland Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board initiate, pursuant to Section 17.144.030 of the Zoning Regulations, designation of the property described below as an Oakland landmark or rezoning the property to the S-7 Preservation Combing Zone. If after reviewing this proposal and requesting and considering comments from the property owner(s) the Board determines to proceed with the proposal, the undersigned intends to submit a completed Oakland Landmark and S-7 Preservation Combing Zone Application Form within six (6) months from the date of such determination.

| TYPE OF APP | LICATION: | Oakland Landmark S-7 Zone | Heritage Property S-20 Zone |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| IDENTIFICAT | TION | S-/ Zone | 5-20 Zone |
| A. Historic | name if known: | | |
| B. Common | n Name <u>:</u> | | |
| ADDRESS/LO | CATION (List all ad | dresses and attach map if m | ore than one address): |
| | | | |
| OWNED OF D | DODEDEN (II | 1 1 1 2 1 1 | |
| OWNER OF P | ROPERTY (Use atta | ched sheet if multiple parce | els with separate owners): |
| Name: | ROPERTY (Use atta | iched sheet if multiple parce | els with separate owners): |
| | ROPERTY (Use atta | iched sheet if multiple parce | els with separate owners): |
| Name: Address: | · · | _ State: | |
| Name: Address: | ` | | |
| Name: Address: City: | ` | | |

(Attach Photo)

5. SIGNIFICANCE FORM LPAB-1

| | A. | Date constructed or established, if known: |
|-------|-----------|---|
| | В. | Builder, architect and/or designer, if known: |
| | C. | Summary statement of significance (Please clearly explain why the property is significant and why it merits landmark or S-7 Zone designation) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 6. | NOT | TICE SUBMITTED BY |
| | Signa | ature: Date: |
| | Name | e/Title: |
| | Orgai | nization: |
| | Addr | ress:Telephone: |
| === | | |
| DEP | PARTM | ENTAL USE ONLY |
| Acce | epted by: | :Date: |
| Inclu | ıded in (| Dakland Cultural Heritage Survey: ☐ Yes ☐ No Survey rating: |
| | State | Historical Resources Inventory Form prepared: ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Inclu | ıded in F | Preliminary Citywide Historical and Architectural Inventory: |
| | | es: Preliminary rating: |

APPENDIX D: LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINATION OF LANDMARK ELIGIBILITY

(Appendix 3 of Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's Rules of Procedure)

September 1993 Page D-1

APPENDIX 3: GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR LANDMARK DESIGNATION

These guidelines are for the purpose of interpreting the landmark eligibility criteria at Section 2002(p) of the Zoning Regulations. The guidelines are expressed as the attached Evaluation Criteria and Ratings for Landmark Eligibility and the accompanying Evaluation Sheet and Evaluation Tally Sheet.

In order to determine whether a property is eligible as a landmark, the property is rated on the Evaluation Sheet for each of the fourteen evaluation criteria shown on the Sheet and defined in the Evaluation Criteria and Ratings.

The Evaluation Sheet ratings are next converted to numerical scores on the Evaluation Tally Sheet and added together for a total score. The total scores are then converted into an overall rating -- A, B, C, or D.

Properties receiving A or B ratings are considered eligible as landmarks.

F-095 3APNDIX3.CB

September 1993 Page D-3

LPAB FORM 3.1

City of Oakland -- Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board EVALUATION SHEET FOR LANDMARK ELIGIBILITY

| Add | iress_ | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|---|----------|--------|-------|------|
| Nan | ie | | | | | |
| Α. | ARC | HITECTURE | | | | |
| | 1. | Exterior/Design | E | VG | G | FP |
| | 2. | Interior | Ε | VG | G | FP |
| | 3. | Construction | E | ٧G | Ğ | FP |
| | 4. | Designer/Builder | E | VG | G | FP |
| | 5. | Style/Type | Ε | ٧G | G | FP |
| в. | HIST | FORY | | | | |
| | 6. | Person/Organization | E | ۷G | G | FP |
| | 7. | Event | E | ٧G | G | FP |
| | 8. | Patterns | E | ٧G | G | FP |
| | 9. | Age | E | VG | G | FP |
| | 10. | Site | E | VG | G | FP |
| c. | CONT | FEXT | | | | |
| | 11. | Continuity | E | ٧G | G | FP |
| | 12. | Familiarity | E | VG | G | FP |
| D. | INTE | EGRITY | | | | |
| | 13. | Condition | E | ٧G | G | FP |
| | 14. | Exterior Alterations | E | ٧G | G | FP |
| Eva | luate | ed_byDate | | | -, | |
| | STAT | rus | | | | |
| | Rati | ing: | | | | |
| | City | Landmark Eligibility: Eligible Not eligible | | | | I |
| | Nati | onal Register Status: Listed In process Determined eligible Appear | s eligi | ble | | |
| | | Appears ineligible | | | |] |
| | Site | e of Opportunity | | | | |
| Thi | S AV | aluation sheet was accepted by the Landmarks Preservation | Adviso | rv Boa | rd at | its |
| | | of | 7.47 .50 | , , , | | . 00 |
| me e | c mg | (Date) | | | | |
| | | Attest:(Secretary) | | | | |
| | | (Secretary) | | | | |

LPAB FORM 3.2

City of Oakland -- Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board EVALUATION TALLY SHEET FOR LANDMARK ELIGIBILITY

| Add | ress_ | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|------|--------|-------|--------|--|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Nam | e | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 12 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1. Exterior/Design | | |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2. Interior | | |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | Construction | | |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4. Designer/Builder | | |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5. Style/Type | | |
| | | | | A. ARCHITECTURE TOTAL | | (max. 26) |
| 30 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 6. Person/Organization | | |
| 30 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 7. Event | | |
| 18 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 8. Patterns | | |
| 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 9. Age | | |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10. Site | | |
| | | | | B. HISTORY TOTAL | | (max. 60) |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | ll. Continuity | | |
| 14 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 12. Familiarity | | |
| | | | | C. CONTEXT TOTAL | | (max. 14) |
| P | RELIM | ENARY | TOTAL | (Summer of A, B and C) | | (max. 100) |
| -0 | -3% | -5% | -10% | 13. Condition (From A,B and C total) | | |
| -0 | - 25% | -50% | -75% | 14. Exterior Alterations (From A,B and C total excluding 2) | | |
| | | | | D. INTEGRITY | | |
| Al | DJUSTE | D TO | ΓAL (P | reliminary total minus Integrity) | | |
| STAT | TUS/RA | TING | | | <u></u> | |
| 0 | D | | (4 = 5 | | (11 22) | |
| Pres | ent K | ating | (Adju | Isted Total): A(35+) B(23-34) C(| . 11-22) | D(0-10) |
| Cont | ingen | cy Ra | ting (| Preliminary Total): A(35+) B(23-34) C(| (11-22) | D(0-10) |
| City | / Land | mark | Eligib | ility: | ı | |
| F-11 | .6 3EV | ALTAL | .CB | | | |

September 1993 Page D-5

CITY OF OMCAND—LANDWARS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD EVALUATION ORITERIA AND RATINGS FOR LANDWARK BLIGIBILITY

ITY (CRITERIA GROUP TO THE PROPERTY AS I GROUP D SHOUD THEN HAS EXPERIENCED KNOWN LOSSES OF INTEGRITY PS A, B AND C SHOLD NORWLLY BE APPLIED TO E_THOSE_LOSSES MATE_SUSTAINED. ORITERIA GRO SENERAL NOTE:

PATINGS

A. ARCHITECTURE

RITERION

EXTERIOR/DESIGN
GUALITY OF FORM, COMPOSITION, DETAILING,
AND ORNAMENT REASURED IN PART ON ORIGINALITY, ARTISTIC MERIT, CRAFTSMANSHIP,
SENSITIVITY TO SURROUNDINGS AND OVERALL
VISUAL QUALITY,

UNDISTINGUISHED EXCELLENT ாஃவச

AN "E" RATING IS APPROPRIATE FOR PROPERTIES WHICH BASED ON EXTERIOR VISUAL QUAL ITY ALONE APPEAR ELIGIBLE FOR OMLAND LANDMARK DESIGNATIONS,

IN MOST USES, THIS CRITERION WILL BE AP-PLIED ONLY TO INTERIORS WHICH ARE ACCES-SIBLE TO THE PUBLIC,

UNLIKE THE CASE OF EXTERIORS, THIS CRITERION SHOULD BE APPLIED TO INTERIORS AS THEY PRESENTLY EXIST, REGARDLESS OF ALTERATIONS,

RATING IS APPROPRIATE FOR PROPERTIES HAVE ANY CLEARLY IDENTIFIABLE VISUAL

A "G" RATING IS A WHICH HAVE ANY CLIOR DESIGN VALUE,

2

SS

APPLIES TO NATURAL FEATURES AS WELL

COMPLIES AND GUIDEL INES

E EXCELLENT
VG VERY GOOD
G GOOD
H UNDISTINGUISHED

INTERIOR
DESIGN QUALITY OF INTERIOR ARRANGEMENT,
FINISH, CRAFTSWANSHIP AND/OR DETAIL OR
ASSOCIATION WITH A PERSON, GROUP, ORGAAIZACIATION OR INSTITUTION USING THE

7

ESPECIALLY FINE OR VERY EARLY EXAMPLE VG ESPECIALLY FINE OR VERY EARLY EXAMPLE IF MANY SURVIVE; ESPECIALLY FINE FEW SURVIVE

CONSTRUCTION
SIGNIFICANCE AS EXAMPLE OF A PARTICULAR
STRUCTURAL MATERIAL, SURFACE MATERIAL OR
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION,

m

G GOOD EXAMPLE IF MANY SURVIVE OF ANY MATERIAL OR METHOD NOT GENERALLY GOOD EXAMPLE IF FEW SURVIVE

IN CURRENT USE (SUCH AS BRICK MASONRY OR BALLOOM FRAMING) OR OF A HIGHLY DURABLE METHOD OF CON-STRUCTION DURABLE METH-OD OF CONSTRUCTION (STEEL FRAME, REINFORC-ED CONCRETE, ETC.)

£

EXAMPLES OF "ESPECIALLY FINE" CONSTRUCTION METHODS OR STRUCTURAL MATERIALS INCLUDE THOSE WHICH SUCCESSFULLY ADDRESS CHALLENGING STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS, ON WHICH ARE TREATED AS VISIBLE DESIGN ELEMENTS THAT CONTRIBUTE SIGNIFICANTLY TO THE FEATURE'S OVERALL DESIGN QUALITY, OR WHICH EXHIBIT FINE CRAFTSMANSHIP,

SURFACE MATERIALS SHOUD BE TREATED UNDER THIS CRITERION ONLY ACCORDING TO THEIR TYPE AND ACCORDING TO THEIR TYPE AND ACCORDING TO THE TYPE AND ACCORDING TO THE CONTRIBUTION OF SURFACE MATERIALS TO A FEATURE'S DESIGN QUALITY SHOUD BE TREATED IN CRITERION 1. (EXTERIOR/DESIGN)

EXAMPLES OF "ESPECIALLY FINE" SURFACE MATERIALS INCLUDE STONE (GRANITE, MARBLE) AND POLYCHROME TERRA COTTA,

Page D-6

| coments and quidelines | NORWALLY, AN ESPECIALY ACTIVE DESIGNER WILL BE RATED AT LEAST "G", | A "GOOD EXAMPLE" SHOULD GENERALLY EXHIBIT MOST OF THE ARCHTMPICAL CHAMACTERISTICS OF THE TYPE, STYLE OR CONVENTION THE EXAMPLE IS INTENDED TO REPRESENT, | THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PERSON, GROUP, ORGANIZATION OR INSTITUTION MUST ITSELS BE ESTABLISHED BEFORE THIS CRITERION IS APPLIED. SUCH SIGNIFICANCE MAY BE AT EITHER THE LOCAL, STATE OR NATIONAL/INTERNATIONAL LEVELS. "INTIMATELY CONNECTED" WILL OFTEN MEAN THAT THE FEATURE WAS INTIMATELY ASSOCIATED WITH AN IMPORTANT PERIOD IN THE LIFE OR ACTIVITIES OF THE PERSON, GROUP, ORGANIZATION OF INSTITUTION. A PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL WILL HAME PLAYED A DECISIVE AND FAR REACHING ROLE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF CARLAND AS A COMMUNITY (EXAMPLES; MAYOR FRAMK MOTT, CENTRAL PACHET C PAILLANDAD.) A PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF SEC-PARAMENT. |
|------------------------|--|---|---|
| RATINGS | E DESIGNER OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE, VG DESIGNER OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE, G DESIGNER OF TERTIARY IMPORTANCE, FP DESIGNER UNKNOWN OR OF NO PARTICULAR INTEREST, | E ESPECIALLY FINE OR VERY EARLY EX- AMPLE IF FEW SURVIVE. VG ESPECIALLY FINE OR VERY EARLY EXAMPLE IF MANY SURVIVE, G GOOD EXAMPLE OF ANY TYPE, STYLE OR RENT USE. RENT USE. PP OF NO PARTICULAR INTEREST. | E PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE INTIMATELY CONNECTED WITH THE PROPERTY. VIG PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE LOOSELY CONNECTED, OR PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE INTIMATELY CONNECTED, OR PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF TERTIARY IMPORTANCE INTIMATELY CONNECTED, OR PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF TERTIARY INPORTANCE INTIMATELY CONNECTED OR NO CONNECTION WITH PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF TERTIARY INFORTANCE LOOSELY CONNECTED OR NO CONNECTION WITH PERSON/ORGANIZATION OF TERTIARY INFORTANCE. |
| CRITERICN | 4. DESIGNER/BUILDER DESIGNED OR BUILT BY AN ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, BUILDER, ARTIST, OR OTHER DE- SIGNER WAD HAS MADE A SIGNIFICANT CONTRI- BUTION TO THE COMMINTY, STATE, OR NATION, | 5, STM.E/TRPE Significance as an example of a particular Type, style or convention, | 6. PERSONORGANIZATION ASSOCIATED WITH THE LIFE OR ACTIVITIES OF A ASSOCIATED WITH THE LIFE OR ACTIVITIES OF A PERSON, GROLP, ORGANIZATION, OR INSTITUTION THAT HAS MADE A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE COMMUNITY, STATE OR NATION, |

ä

| COMENTS AND GUIDELINES | SEE COMENTS FOR CRITERION 6 (PERSON/ORGANIZATION), | A HELPFUL MEASURE OF THIS CRITERION IS TO CONSIDER HOW USEFUL. THE PROPERTY WOULD BE FOR THE TEACHING OF CULTURAL HISTORY. A PROPERTY IS NORWALLY "INTIMATELY CONNECTED" WITH A PATTERN IF THE PROPERTY EVHIBITS THE ESSENCE OF THE PATTERN, A PROPERTY IS NORWALLY "LOOSELY CONNECTED" WITH A PATTERN IF THE PROPERTY ONLY EXHIBITS THE INFLINENCE OF THE PATTERN, A PATTERN APPLIES. A PATTERN WILL NORWALLY BE CONSIDERED "INTIMATELY CONNECTED" WITH THE PROPERTY IF ONLY A FEW EXAMPLES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PATTERN SURVIVE. | "INTIMATE" AND "LOOSE" CONNECTIONS FOR SIGNIFICANT- LY ALTERED PROPERTIES ARE TREATED THE SAME WAY AS FOR CRITERION 6 (PERSON/ORGANIZATION). SEE ALSO OTHER COMMENTS FOR CRITERION 6 (PERSON/ORGANIZATION). THE WESTERN TERMINUS OF THE TRANSCOMTINENTAL RAILL- ROAD WAS COMPLETED IN CHALAND IN 1869, INAUGHRATING AN IMPORTANT PERIOD OF RAPID URBAN DEVELOPMENT. THE 1986 EARTHAUME HELPED STIMULATE ANOTHER IMPOR- TANT PERIOD OF RAPID DEVELOPMENT IN CHALAND. AT THE END OF WORLD WAR 11, URBAN DEVELOPMENT BEGAN TO SHIFT FROM CENTRAL CITIES LIKE CARLAND TO THE SUB- URBS. IF THE PROPERTY HAS BEEN SIGNIFICANTLY ALTERED SINCE THE TIME OF 175 ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION OR ESTABLISH- |
|------------------------|---|--|---|
| PATINGS | E EVENT OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE INTI- MATELY CONNECTED WITH THE PROPERTY, VG EVENT OF PRIMARY INPORTANCE LOSSELY CONNECTED, OR EVENT OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE INTIMATELY CONNECTED, G EVENT OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE LOSSE- LY CONNECTED, OR EVENT OF TERTIARY IMPORTANCE INTIMATELY CONNECTED, PP EVENT OF TERTIARY IMPORTANCE LOSSE- LY CONNECTED OR NO CONNECTIONS WITH EVENT OF IMPORTANCE. | E PATTERNS OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE IN- TIMATELY CONNECTED WITH THE PROPERTY, VG PATTERNS OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE LOOSELY CONNECTED, OR PATTERNS OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE INTIMATELY CONNECTED, G PATTERNS OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE LOOSELY CONNECTED, OR PATTERNS OF TERTIARY IMPORTANCE INTIMATELY CON- NECTED, PATTERNS OF TERTIARY IMPORTANCE LOOSE- LY CONNECTED OR NO CONNECTION WITH PATTERNS OF IMPORTANCE. | E ESTABLISHED PRIOR TO 1869, VG ESTABLISHED BETWEEN 1869 AND APRIL 1966, G ESTABLISHED BETWEEN MAY 1926 AND 1945, FP ESTABLISHED SINCE 1945, |
| CRITERION | 7, EVENT ASSOCIATED WITH AN EVENT THAT HAS MADE A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE COMMUNITY, STATE OR NATION, | 8, PATIENS ASSOCIATED WITH AND EFFECTIVELY ILLUSTRA- TIVE OF BROAD PATTENS OF CULTURAL, SOCIAL, POLITICAL, ECONOMIC, OR INDUSTRIAL HISTORY, OR OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CITY, OR OF DISTINCT GEOGRAPHIC REGIONS OR ETHNIC GROUPS, OR OF A PARTICULAR WELL-DEFINED ERA, | 9, AŒ Coaparatively old in relation to develop- ment of the city, |

| COMPINS AND QUIDELINES | THE BOUNDARIES TURE AT THE TIME THE FEATURE ACHIEVED BY THE FEATURE A NEW SITE IN WAS CONSTRUCTED OR ESTABLISHED. A NEW SITE IN | ARACTER OF "AREA OF PRIMARY OR SECONDARY IMPORTANCE" GENERALLY NEANS A DISTRICT, GROUP OF PROPERTIES, OR OTHER AREA NOTABLE ENOUGH TO WARRANT SPECIAL RECOG- OTHER AREA NITION, SUCH AS INCLUSION IN THE CITY'S S-7 PRESERVA- TION CORBINING ZONE, AREAS OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE AN AREA OF ARE LIMITED TO POTENTIAL NATIONAL REGISTER DISTRICTS, | PRACTER OF AN IF THE FEATURE HAS BEEN REMOVED (1,E., GIVEN A " E OR MAINTAINS RATING UNDER CRITERION 14), AND THE PROPERTY EA OF SECONDARY HAS THEREFORE BECOME ONLY A "SITE", CONTINUITY SHOULD BE EVALUATED BY IMAGINING THE FATURE RE- SEA OF PRIMARY STORED TO ITS SITE, BUT IN THE EXISTING SURROUND- FED IN AN AREA INGS. | REGION AS A HELPFUL MEASURE OF THIS CRITERION IS TO CONSIDER WHETHER A TYPICAL RESIDENT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, CITY OR REGION WOULD NOTICE THE FEATURE AND REMEMBER IT. SITY OR REGION IS TO CONSIDER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, IF THE FEATURE HAS BEEN REMOVED, THIS CRITERION IS TO CONSIDER IN THE FEATURE SHOULD BE EVALUATED BY CONSIDERING THE FEATURE'S ROLE (IF ANY) AS A "LANDMARK" PRIOR TO ITS REMOVAL. | AR OR STRUC- "MINOR SURFACE WEAR" GENERALLY MEANS THAT NO RE- PLACEMENT OF DESIGN ELEMENTS DUE TO DETERIORATION IS REQUIED, SURFACE WEAR, "CONSIDERABLE SURFACE WEAR" GENERALY MEANS THAT SURFACE WEAR SOME DESIGN ELEMENTS HAVE DETERIORATED TO SUCH AN RAL PROBLEMS, EXTENT THAT THEY MUST BE REPLACED, | "SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS" WILL GENERALY BE ASSOCIATED WITH SAGGING FLOOR LINES, OUT-OF- PLINE WALLS, AND FIRE DAMAGE |
|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| PATINGS | E HAS NOT BEEN MOVED, G HAS BEEN MOVED WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF ITS ORIGINAL SITE, F HAS BEEN RELOCATED TO A NEW SITE IN THE SAME NEIGHBORNOOD AS THE ORIGINAL SITE, NAL SITE, P HAS BEEN RELOCATED TO A NEW SITE IN A DIFFERENT NEIGHBORNOOD. | E HELPS ESTABLISH THE CHARACTER OF AN AREA OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE OR CONSTITUTES A DISTRICT, VG MAINTAINS THE CHARACTER OF AN AREA OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE OR HELPS ESTAB- LISH THE CHARACTER OF AN AREA OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE OR CONSTITUTES | G COPATIBLE WITH THE CHARACTER OF AN AREA PRIMARY IMPORTANCE OR MAINTAINS THE CHARACTER OF AN AREA OF SECONDAR INCOPPATIBLE WITH AN AREA OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE OR NOT LOCATED IN AN AREA OF PRIMARY OR SECONDARY IMPORTANCE. | E A FEATURE WHICH MAY BE TAKEN AS SYNBOL. FOR THE CITY OR REGION AS A WACKE, VG A CONSPICUOUS AND FAMILIAR FEATURE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE CITY OR REGION, G A CONSPICUOUS AND FAMILIAR FEATURE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE NEIGHBORNCOO, FP NOT PARTICULARLY CONSPICUOUS OR FAMILIAR, | E NO APPARENT SURFACE WEAR OR STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS, GEHIBITS ONLY MINOR SURFACE WEAR, F EXHIBITS CONSIDERABLE SURFACE WEAR, OR SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS, P EXHIBITS CONSIDERABLE SURFACE WEAR AND SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS, | |
| CRITERICA | 10, SITE RELATION OF FEATURE TO ITS ORIGINAL SITE AND NEIGHBORHCOD. | C. CONTEXI 11. CONTRIBUTES TO THE VISUAL, HISTORIC OR OTHER GOVINGOMENTAL CONTINUITY OR CHARACTER OF THE STREET OR AREA. | | 12. FAMILIARITY PROMIENCE OR FAMILIARITY WITHIN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, CITY OR REGION, | D. <u>INTEGRITY</u> 13. CONDITION EXTENT TO WHICH THE FEATURE HAS EXPERIENCED DETERIORATION. | |

COMMENTS AND GUIDELINES

CRITERION

E NO CHANGES OR VERY MINOR
ALTERATIONS WHICH DO NOT
CHANGE THE OVERALL CHARACTER,
G MINOR CHANGES TO OVERALL
CHARACTER,
F MAJOR CHANGES TO OVERALL
CHARACTER,
P FEATURE HAS BEEN REMOVED OR
DEMOLISHED,

JEVALUATE, 03 August 6, 1987

F-HP82 4NHPCOLD.CB

APPENDIX E: SUMMARY OF PROCEDURE FOR INITIATION OF LANDMARK OR S-7 ZONE DESIGNATION

September 1993 Page E-1

Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board Oakland, California

SUMMARY OF PROCEDURE FOR LANDMARKS BOARD INITIATION OF LANDMARK OR S-7 ZONE DESIGNATION

September, 1993

NOTE: For complete description of procedure, see Article 4 of the Landmarks Board's Rules of Procedure.

A. IF APPLICANT IS OTHER THAN THE OWNER:

- Step 1. Applicant submits completed "Notice of Intent to Submit an Oakland Landmark and S-7 Preservation Combining Zone Application Form" (Form LPAB-1) to Landmarks Board Secretary. If applicant is Landmarks Board, Notice of Intent is prepared by Secretary or individual Boardmember.
- Step 2. Secretary forwards Notice of Intent to Board for consideration along with preliminary recommendation as to whether the proposal meets the Zoning Regulations' landmark or S-7 designation criteria. For landmark designation proposals, the recommendation is based on and accompanied by a completed draft preliminary Evaluation Sheet (Form LPAB-2) prepared by the Secretary.
- Step 3. Board reviews Notice of Intent, Secretary's recommendation and, if applicable, draft Evaluation Sheet and preliminarily determines whether the property meets (either) the landmark or S-7 designation criteria.
- Step 4. If the property meets the criteria, written comments from the property owner(s) are requested.
- Step 5. Board considers owner's comments and determines whether to proceed with designation.
- Step 6. If Board proceeds with designation, applicant submits completed "Landmark and S-7 Preservation Combining Zone Application Form" (Form LPAB-4) to Secretary. If applicant is Landmarks Board. Application Form is prepared by Secretary or by individual Boardmember.

Summary of Procedure for Landmarks Board Initiation of Landmark or S-7 Zone Designation September, 1993

- Step 7. Secretary forwards Application Form to Board for consideration along with final recommendation as to whether the proposal meets the landmark or S-7 designation criteria. For landmark designation proposals, the recommendation is based on and accompanied by a completed draft final Evaluation Sheet prepared by the Secretary.
- Step 8. Board makes final determination of landmark or S-7 eligibility. If property is determined eligible, Board considers resolution formally initiating landmark or S-7 designation. If resolution is adopted, proposal is sent to City Planning Commission for public hearing and recommendation to the City Council.

The above process normally requires three Landmarks Board meetings, corresponding with Steps 3, 5 and 8.

B. IF APPLICANT IS THE OWNER: Process begins with owner's submittal of Application Form to Secretary for forwarding to Board as per Step 7, above. Steps 1-6 are omitted.

AOP 8.02

F-LM265 1S7DESIG.CB

P-HPII2 4NHPCOLS.CS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Mayor and City Council

Elihu Harris, Mayor Sheila Jordan, District 1 Mary Moore, District 2 Natalie Bayton, District 3 Richard Spees, District 4 Ignacio De La Fuente, District 5 Nate Miley, District 6 Dezie Woods-Jones, District 7 Frank Ogawa, At-Large

Oakland City Planning Commission

Jean Blacksher
Joseph P. De Luca
Dolores Jaquez
Yui Hay Lee
Anthony Pegram
Judy L. Rowe
Harold P. (Peter) Smith

Landmarks Preservation Advisory

Board

Annalee Allen
Diana Becton-Brown
Andrew Carpentier
Creighton Fong
Les Hausrath
Estelle Mannis
Jean Spees

City of Oakland Staff

Alvin James, Director of City Planning Willie Yee, Zoning Manager Christopher Buckley, Project Manager Charles Bryant, Planner IV Beatriz Netto-Duffy, Graphics Delineator

Former City of Oakland Staff

Sheldon Siegel, Planner IV Rosanne DiMaggio, Graphics Delineator

Historic Preservation Task Force

Annalee Allen Marina Carlson Ray Caster John Christensen Steve Costa Joseph P. De Luca Carolyn Douthat Arthur B. Geen Les Hausrath Frederick C. Hertz Suzanne Hirshen Dick Hughes Robin Jahnke Randolph Langenbach Estelle Mannis John Merritt Larry Mortimer Sally Nielson Anthony Pegram Samuel Rasheed Harold P. (Peter) Smith Jane Spangler

Kathy Walsh